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# cPPS for Successs Arrived at Destination 

## The 2018 NASPL Annual Conference explored

 how to get where the industry needs to be.
## 



## Get your players into the game with IGT's PlayShot sports betting solution.

Powering wagers in New Jersey since opening day and now running in multiple U.S. jurisdictions, PlayShot ${ }^{\text {Tm }}$ is the complete turnkey solution for operating a sports betting business. PlayShot is built with player insights and over 350,000 hours of development to tailor this enterprise-class solution to the U.S. market. And, with more than ${ }^{\$ 12}$ billion in sports bets handled in 2017 and 10 years' experience running platforms worldwide, IGT's solutions and people are ready today to help you meet sports bettors where they want to play, from mobile betting to self-service terminals to traditional sportsbooks.

## Ready To Connect?

IGT's PlayShot sports betting solution is transforming play.
Talk with us to learn more.
 gaming portfolio with IGT's flexible PlayShot solution.

## FROM THE NASPL PRESIDENT



As host state to the NASPL Annual Conference and Trade Show this past September, it's been a very busy yet exciting year. There were numerous in person meetings with the NASPL staff (luckily NASPL is located only 30 miles from Ohio Lottery headquarters) and many teleconference updates. The planning process was amazingly fun but also overwhelming. What are the hotel logistics, who are the keynote speakers, what breakout sessions will interest the participants, what food should be served, what networking events will interest all parties, can we stay within budget, what if it rains?!

After the conference, we at the Ohio Lottery were able to step back and breathe easy for a bit. We hope all who participated enjoyed their brief visit to Cleveland and were able to network, grow professionally and see the latest and greatest technology the vendor community offers. We wish Bishop Woosley and the NASPL staff the best success as they plan next year's 2019 conference in Little Rock, Ark.

As for me, I have been very fortunate to have served 27 years at the Ohio Lottery in several capacities: Internal Audit Manager, Executive Policy Staff, Finance Director, Assistant Director and Director. I am rounding out eight years in the Director's position, the longest serving Director in Ohio Lottery history. That certainly has surpassed the tenure of the first Ohio Lottery Directors taking a stab at the position. In fact, in the first eight years of the Ohio Lottery's existence from 1974-1982, seven Directors went through the turnstile. Not a good recipe for revenue growth.

Along the way, I have met many great people, talented lottery directors and ingenious vendors, and I have learned a lot. I have seen the industry tremendously transformed. When my career started in the industry in 1991, we didn't have office email, internet, a website presence, voice mail or caller ID. You actually had to pick up the phone to see who was calling you! You had to walk into someone's office and deal face-to-face with all issues; there was no hiding behind an email or saying "hey, didn't you read my email I sent you at midnight last Tuesday?" And if you were a non-smoker, you were a little out of luck, as smoking at your desk was perfectly acceptable and legal.

The gaming industry didn't have ticket checkers, eplay slips, mobile lottery apps, telsell and UPS/FedEx 24-hour instant ticket shipping; had no loyalty reward programs; and offered limited methods to redeem your prize winnings. We have come a long way.

Somehow, some way, we pulled it off, got the job done and sold lottery tickets. However, there is no dispute that the advancement of office and gaming technology and communications has greatly advanced our industry. Sales and profits for most lotteries are at record highs and this trend should continue if we don't let our guard down. So keep moving forward, embrace technology, but don't ever forget who your core customer is and who built your business. More to follow in the next edition of Insights...
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MOMENTU

Scientific Games' Momentum ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ is grounded by AEGIS ${ }^{\ominus}$, our highly robust and secure lottery system.
And now, thanks to a number of strategic acquisitions, our Momentum portfolio of systems-based products and services is stronger and more versatile than ever.
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# Mega Millions Rises to the Occasion 

By Patricia McQueen

In January 2016, the lottery industry hit an unprecedented milestone when the Powerball jackpot surpassed the $\$ 1.5$ billion level. More than two and a half years later, it was Mega Millions' turn in the spotlight, reaching that amount for the October 23 drawing, just the second time in history that a lottery jackpot passed the billion-dollar mark. While the two jackpots were remarkably close in value ( $\$ 1.586$ billion for Powerball and $\$ 1.537$ billion for Mega Millions), the circumstances that unfolded during their respective runs are indicative of the challenges - and opportunities - the industry faces with these jackpot games today.
"It was fantastic," said Gordon Medenica of the run, which generated $\$ 2.478$ billion in total sales during its 13 -week course. Director of the Maryland Lottery and Lead Director of the Mega Millions group, Medenica noted that many people doubted the industry would ever see that jackpot level again.

The numbers were big, and everyone reaped the benefits. During the 26 drawings, the game generated $\$ 1.239$ billion in prizes for players, including the world-record prize on a single ticket of $\$ 1.537$ billion (\$878 million cash) won in

South Carolina, approximately $\$ 149$ million in retailer commissions, and about $\$ 1$ billion in incremental profits to the good causes lotteries support.

With those types of numbers, there was plenty of media attention; it just took longer to kick in than in previous jackpot runs. Medenica happened to be in New York that week, so he was in demand for all the morning news shows. "I think I did eight interviews the Tuesday of the final drawing," he said, adding that on the morning after the prize was won, both he and Hogan Brown, Executive Director of the South Carolina Education Lottery, appeared on CBS This Morning.

By that time, Maryland Lottery Managing Director of Communications Carole Bober Gentry was flirting with exhaustion, having fielded media inquiries from around the country, and around the globe, over the weekend as the jackpot surged past the billion-dollar mark. "There was quite the international interest," she said, with media inquiries from as far afield as Japan, Poland, Denmark and South America. "It was non-stop - they were all excited, and it was like nothing else I've ever experienced."

There were a total of more than 50.2 million winning tickets sold during the roll, including 85 second-tier prizes of \$1 million or more and 1,232 third prizes ranging from $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 50,000$ (with the optional Megaplier). "We need to be highlighting these lower-level prizes," said Medenica. "They have to sustain the game during these rolls, especially as the jackpot increases more slowly than it has in the past."

## Underlying Issues

And therein lies the rub - changes in the dynamics of jackpot growth, player participation and media coverage are impacting these big games and the future remains uncertain. "This has been a defining moment in the industry," Medenica said. "It'll be important for us to spend some time evaluating what we learned so we can put the experience to use during the next big jackpot roll."

Sales were considerably lower for the last few drawings than the equivalent drawings for the Powerball jackpot in 2016. Even more worrisome, sales for the final drawing were $\$ 782$ million, not that much

## THERE IS NOW A LOTTERY TERMINAL

## EVERYWHRE CTMERY

EVERYWHERE

ABACUS's revolutionary new platform allows any cash register at the checkout, in any country to be enabled for lottery sales. In the last two years we have implemented this new system in more than 20,000 check-out lanes and the figures just keep rising.

This solution-is-changing the way the lottery industry operates. Abacus are the industry experts and the only company that specialises in providing in-lane soffware based solutions working closely with all the central gaming system vendors as well as the retail vendors.

more than the $\$ 686$ million in sales before the previous record Mega Millions jackpot ( $\$ 656$ million on March 31, 2012, advertised at $\$ 640$ million) despite a jackpot that was 2.5 times higher.

Then there's media attention, and the phenomenon of the two games rolling at the same time. "In the past couple of years, the concept of the 'dueling' Mega Millions and Powerball jackpots seemed to capture the media's attention," said Medenica, who believes part of 'jackpot fatigue' is really 'media fatigue' as little attention now comes even at the $\$ 500$ million mark. But once one game hits $\$ 1$ billion, it becomes the media darling. "At lower jackpot levels, sales of the two games aren't really dueling with each other, but once you get up to these bigger numbers, the game with the larger jackpot is going to be the focus of attention."

Finally, the process of estimating jackpots needs to be continually refined. Although $\$ 1.5$ billion had been reached with Powerball, setting the jackpot for the second time at this level was still a big unknown, especially given the nearly threeyear gap between the two jackpots. With Powerball, the jackpots had been set daily, as it was completely uncharted territory. A side effect of that was the media's pickup of that good news each day between the final rolls.

Leading up to the penultimate drawing on October 19, Mega Millions had the same effect. Initially set at $\$ 868$ million, it was raised each day - first to $\$ 900$ million, then $\$ 970$ million, and finally to the magical \$1 billion mark. The initial estimate for the October 23 drawing - if it rolled on October 19 - was well over $\$ 1.6$ billion. The group decided to be conservative and chose $\$ 1.6$ billion as the next jackpot amount,
which would be a new world record; it was advertised as such.

The next Monday, after a weekend of sales following the roll on October 19, estimates were still coming in at greater than $\$ 1.6$ billion, but not quite as high as the estimates from the previous Friday. The \$1.6 billion advertised jackpot remained in place, as it did on draw day as well. "The problem is that about 70 percent of sales occur on the draw day," Medenica explained. "Even though you are setting the jackpot on the morning of the draw, you are still essentially flying blind."

As it turned out, the estimate was a bit high, but all things considered it was quite accurate - just missing the mark by four percent. The media noticed and wanted an explanation, but once they understood the jackpot-setting process, they quickly moved on to other stories. "In the future, we'll have to be much more conservative at these higher jackpot levels, if for no other reason than to be able to continue to put out good news every day between the draws."

## Final Thoughts

The Mega Millions jackpot virtually assures that lotteries will have great financial years in FY19 - there are already reports of record monthly sales and revenues to good causes during October but of course everyone worries about FY20. Medenica hopes history will eventually repeat itself, despite the challenges.
"We were in uncharted territory," Medenica said. "It was certainly an exciting time, but moving forward, we should be careful." Medenica pointed to sales estimates and expectations of winners as areas where caution may be worthwhile.

With lower sales at these high levels, coverage is lower - estimated at just over 69 percent for the final Mega Millions drawing, compared to about 88 percent for the big Powerball drawing in 2016.
That leads to an increased likelihood of having just a single winner despite the prize level, as happened on October 23. It remains to be seen whether Mega Millions and Powerball might consider changes to their game designs at high jackpot levels. "That's an issue for the industry to discuss," Medenica said.

As sales slow down, coverage will remain low for each individual drawing. So the industry will likely continue to see jackpots build to very high levels; it will just take a long time to get there.

Then there's what happened to the Powerball jackpot the same week. It had been estimated at $\$ 750$ million for the October 27 drawing, but ended up being hit at an actual level of $\$ 687.8$ million when sales were actually lower than for the previous draw. Medenica noted that, much like the record Mega Millions jackpot, this also marked uncharted territory for the lottery industry. "I don't think we've ever had a situation, especially at high jackpots, where the last drawing in a run didn't produce the most sales," Medenica said.

On the other hand, Mega Millions sales for the base-level jackpots immediately after the $\$ 1.537$ billion jackpot were sharply higher than after previous hits. "We all learn something every day," he added. "I think there's an intuitively obvious explanation for those strong sales - everyone was cashing in those lower-level prizes and staying in the Mega Millions game."

All food for thought as the industry moves forward.
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## maktine A DIFFBRENCE

# MIA LEMKE 

## Human Resources Manager Arizona Lottery

Iotteries exist to raise revenues for good causes through the sale of entertaining games, but if you ask Mia Lemke, their biggest asset is not those games, but the employees that run them. The Human Resources Manager of the Arizona Lottery is focused on helping those employees be the best they can be. "I care about their well-being and development and enjoy learning about what motivates them in order to maintain a positive culture." And when they face personal or professional difficulties, she loves "being able to help employees identify and overcome challenges and to see them succeed at work."
"Mia has been terrific here at the Arizona Lottery," Executive Director Gregg Edgar said. "She has worked tirelessly to help improve policies and procedures, she has been the welcoming face that greets and guides our new hires as they join the Arizona Lottery family, and she has been a huge factor in reducing turnover and retaining valued employees to the benefit of the Agency. We are especially proud of her accomplishments and her recent naturalization as a citizen of the United States."

Lemke joined the Arizona Lottery in July 2016 in her current capacity in human resources. She had previously served a similar role in the office of the Arizona Secretary of State. She has learned a lot about lotteries in a short period of time. Perhaps the most surprising thing for her about the industry is the amount of money lotteries give back to their beneficiaries - more than $\$ 198$ million just in Arizona in FY17. "It's so rewarding to be part of such an organization."

Born and raised in Marburg, Germany, Lemke studied political science and earned a master's degree in the subject in 2009 at Philipps University of Marburg. Seven years before, she met her future husband Jeremy, then stationed in Germany as a member of the U.S. Army. When he ended his military career (which had taken him around the world, including service in Iraq and Kosovo), he moved back to his hometown of Juneau, Alaska. She stayed in Germany to complete her education, and then joined him in Alaska. "It never occurred to me that I'd ever live in the United States. I was thinking of a career in the German government."

Before leaving her native country, she started investigating the path necessary to become a naturalized citizen of the U.S. Nearly 10 long years later, she finally achieved that goal, having been sworn in as a new citizen in early October. "That day formally marked my commitment to this country and its people that I had already felt for many years," said Lemke proudly.

## "It never occurred to me that I'd ever live in the United States."

Looking for warmer temperatures and a home base that would be more conducive to travel (Juneau is not an easy place to get into and out of - something both Lemke and her husband enjoy - they moved from Alaska to Arizona four years ago. They love the long days, blue skies and wide open spaces, and together with their two young daughters (Zoe and Luna), they spend a lot of time in the great outdoors - swimming and hiking, for example, along with other activities. "There are so many places even just here in Arizona that we as a family want to discover."

## What made you transition to human resources?

When I moved from Germany to Alaska in 2009, I had to figure out what my possibilities were in the U.S. I knew I wanted to work for the government and had the opportunity to work for the State of Alaska in the administrative field. That's where I gained knowledge and experience and where I received training in the different areas of human resources, such as strategic recruiting, classification and compensation, employee relations, performance management, training and development, and benefits administration. I liked all of these disciplines and decided to combine my knowledge and experience and become a human resources manager. I always liked working on a variety of things and interacting with people, and working in HR means juggling many things at once each day.

## What prompted you to join the Arizona Lottery?

When I worked as Human Resources Manager in the Secretary of State's office, I had the opportunity to interview for an HR Manager position with the Arizona Lottery. There were two things that made the job at the Lottery attractive. First, I would be responsible for coordinating the human resources activities for an agency with approximately 90 employees. I also really liked the Lottery's mission to help people in communities throughout Arizona by giving back the proceeds from ticket sales.

## What makes a good lottery employee and what do you think fosters loyalty among those employees?

All Lottery employees play a significant role in achieving our goal to maximize the net revenue so that we can give that back to the communities. It is important that every employee understands


the significance of working together with employees in other departments and that they see the big picture and don't just focus on their own work. And I do think working for a cause fosters loyalty. The employees here know that the work they do every day impacts the lives of Arizona families. The revenue they help to generate goes to the beneficiaries - programs related to arts and education, health and human services, the environment, and economic and business development. We have a few employees who have worked for the Arizona Lottery for 30-plus years!

## What's the most fun you have had at the Arizona Lottery?

My most fun project was to analyze behavioral assessments and provide one-on-one feedback to all Lottery employees as a licensed Predictive Index Analyst. The Index is a behavioral assessment that measures the needs and motivations of employees. Everyone is different and is motivated in different ways, and that information is shared with other employees so everyone can better understand what motivates others. For example, someone who prefers to think things through should be approached differently from someone who jumps right into a challenge. Open discussion of the assessments is always an eye-opener for employees; it helps them learn how to interact with others. It creates a positive work environment and helps further team collaboration.

Another fun part of my job here is to provide Kaizen Teian training as a certified trainer to create a culture of continuous improvement. Using Kaizen Teian, the Arizona Lottery empowers employees to identify and remove barriers in their day-to-day work activities while increasing efficiency.


What drove you to become a U.S. citizen? Do you think the process should be less demanding for those wishing to become citizens?

I wanted to become a U.S. citizen because this is my home now. I made the decision to live here with my husband and to raise my children here. As my daughters got older, I knew there was one very important thing missing that I wasn't able to teach them by example - to participate in shaping the political landscape by voting in an election. I didn't have the right to vote. Given my educational background, this was what drove me to become a U.S. citizen. I want to teach my children that they have a voice.

It certainly was a long journey to become a citizen. Not only are the expenses for filing paperwork quite high, there is a lot of that paperwork. I have a shelf full of binders containing documents I had to fill out, each one tied to a fee. There's lots of bureaucracy and I had to give several very personal interviews. Still, I realize these steps in the process are necessary and it's the government's job to make sure that those applying for citizenship are who they say they are and who will integrate into society.
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# Study Finds Iottery Players Iook Similar to all North Carolinians 

Ever wonder who's playing your lottery? A study by the N.C. Education Lottery took on that question and the results confirm scientifically what it sees every day.

By Van Denton, Director of Communications, North Carolina Education Lottery

For years, when asked who plays the lottery, the best answer the North Carolina Education Lottery (NCEL) had was anecdotal. We would say that from what we see at retail locations and from the players who come in to claim prizes, lottery players appear to resemble a crosssection of North Carolina.

We felt good about the observation, but this year our lottery decided to test those assumptions in a scientific way, and see if they were accurate.

And they were. Our study of who plays lottery games in North Carolina shows that demographically players of lottery games look similar to the overall population of the state.

We partnered with Ipsos, a research and polling firm, to conduct a demographic profile of lottery players. The statewide telephone survey interviewed 2,001 North Carolinians, aged 18 or older, between May 23 and June 19. The results have a margin of error of plus or minus 2.2 percent.

We made a deliberate decision to go with a much larger sample than many polls today because we wanted to ensure we could stand by the results. We also wanted the survey to be done by telephone, not online, to further ensure a valid sample since not all demographic groups that we needed to reach have easy access to the Internet.

Interviewers dialed 143,500 numbers to complete the survey. Each phone number received up to six call back attempts and screening questions were used to ensure the validity of respondents. Sixty percent of
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## Lottery doesn't always make it on the shopping list



Nearly half of lottery purchases are unplanned, which makes being seen critical to being top of mind. Our integration with big box retailers and $91 \%$ of grocery make us the gateway to new players. Our access to high-traffic areas like checklane and gift card racks allow you to connect better with your players and increase sales to infrequent and casual players. Learn more at Linq3.com
the surveys were done with people reached on their mobile devices and 40 percent involved land-based lines. In conducting the survey, Ipsos ensured that those answering matched the same demographics as North Carolina's general population in the 18 -plus age group. Surveys were done in both English and Spanish to make sure the Hispanic population of North Carolina was fully represented in our data.

The survey defined a lottery player as someone who had played any lottery game in the last year. Of those surveyed, nearly half, 46 percent, were lottery players.

Key takeaways for North Carolina lottery players were:

- They matched the general population in terms of household income with 37 percent of players having a household income of $\$ 25,000$ to $\$ 75,000$ a year; 24 percent, under $\$ 25,000 ; 22$ percent, $\$ 75,000$ to $\$ 150,000$; and 7 percent, \$150,000 or more.
- More are middle-aged with 42 percent in the 35 to 54 age group, 33 percent in the 55 -plus age group, and 24 percent in the 18 to 34 age group.
- More than half held university degrees or attended some college, with 25 percent being university graduates, 34 percent with some college, and 41 percent with a high school degree or less.
"It's good to see this survey confirms what our experience told us," said NCEL Executive Director Mark Michalko. "Folks from all walks of life enjoy playing lottery games."

Comparisons of lottery players with the state's overall population also showed:

- A higher percentage of lottery players are middle-aged: 42 percent are in the 35 to 54 age group vs. 35 percent in general population.
- A slightly higher percentage of lottery players are male: 52 percent vs. 49 percent in the general population.
- A slightly higher percentage of lottery players are African-Americans: 23 percent vs. 20 percent in general population.

The survey adds to the NCEL's corporate social responsibility program, fulfilling a commitment we made in achieving the highest level of responsible gaming certification recognized internationally last December. The Level 4 accreditation from the World Lottery Association attested that the NCEL has implemented responsible gaming practices into its day-
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to-day operations and those practices are continuously improved.

In that Level 4 application, the NCEL said the purpose of responsible gaming research is to assess the impact lottery games have on the prevalence of problem gambling in North Carolina as well as evaluate the success of our responsible gaming programs and make positive, tangible improvements within those programs.

Such research, analysis and evaluation, including the recent research on our player demographics, ensures that NCEL meets its commitment of being a socially responsible organization. This recent research also helps us provide a clearer picture of our player base to key stakeholders.
"A good deal of assumptions are made as to who plays lottery games," said Michalko. "A valid and reliable scientific survey, such as this one, answers important questions that will help guide the responsible growth of the lottery."


Van Denton is the Director of Communications for the North Carolina Education Lottery
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## LIGHTNNNG AND THE INTEGRITY OF THE LOTTERY STEPHEN WADE, PRINCIPAL, LOTTERYY MANAGENENT CONSULIING, LLC.

We have all heard the expression, 'Lightning doesn't strike twice in the same place.' This saying, repeated often enough, has the weight of a proverb. Yet it is obviously untrue. Mountaineers know that lightning strikes some places with dangerous regularity. Prudent flatlanders know to avoid tall trees in a thunderstorm. Lightning is, after all, a phenomenon that follows physical laws. Fortunately for us, it rarely strikes where we are.

This false proverb stays with us, because it expresses something we would like to be true.

Lightning is awe-inspiring. A word cloud about lightning would certainly include rare and random. We might be able to agree on what rare means, but humans notoriously have a hard time with random. We often use the word to mean the same thing as unpredictable, or even not deliberately chosen. Both of these senses apply to lightning.

But random has a far more rigorous definition that applies in the lottery context. We use random processes to determine winners. A key feature of a random process is that it has no memory; what happens next is not influenced by what just happened. The flipped coin does not remember how it landed last time. Consequently, it has no inhibition against landing that way again.

We know that an honest coin-flip process, over the very long run, produces heads about as often as tails. This is an expression of what statisticians call the 'the law of large numbers.' What we would like to be true is: Even over the short run, heads and tails should be equally abundant. This is a false expectation. It is so much part of our human cognition that psychologists have called it 'the law of small numbers.' The psychologists were being ironic ${ }^{1}$. What they mean is: What we think is true in a grand sense, we expect

> WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO AGREE ON WHAT RARE MEANS, BUT HUMANS NOTORIOUSLY HAVE A HARD TIME WITH RANDOM.

to be true in the smallest instance. We expect more regularity from the world than a truly random process will deliver. A truly random process will deliver patches of concentration and sparsity, while we intuitively expect evenness. An even distribution is in fact a reflection of order, not of randomness. Yet our natural cognitive bias is such that when we see

departures from evenness, we think there must be a cause.

Lottery wins can be awe-inspiring and, like lightning, evoke the words rare and random. And we expect that lottery wins are delivered by an unbiased and truly random process. Analysts know that a random process will result in areas of concentration and sparsity. Yet our human cognitive bias is such that we expect these wins to be somehow evenly distributed. This expectation of evenness is routinely contradicted by reality, leading some to question the integrity of the lottery.

And after all, why shouldn't people question the integrity of the lottery? It is possible to imagine various kinds of rigging and hacking that could happen. Recently, culprits have been convicted and are now serving time for corrupting lottery games. Our vigilance against such attacks may be higher now than ever before. However, the confidence of prospective players is not based on our vigilance. It is based on their belief that the games are
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fundamentally honest. Unfortunately, it is also based on the false expectation that an honest game will result in an even distribution of wins.

## 'PEOPLE DON'T WIN THE LOTTERY TWICE.'

This is just as false as 'Lightning doesn't strike twice in the same place,' but any concentration of wins to a person, or even to a geographic area, tends to undermine public confidence in the integrity of the lottery.

Lottery managers and marketers might expect to feel some skepticism from the public when some players win repeatedly. People who play a lot can win a lot, and we generally do not know how much any player spends.

Unfortunately, there is another driver of winner concentrations that, although it has nothing to do with the integrity of the games, supports suspicion of the lottery: There are more people who are willing to play and win the lottery, than who are willing to identify themselves to the government and claim the prize. Winning lottery tickets are bearer instruments, and sometimes the payment is collected by someone other than the person to whom the lottery sold the ticket. Some lotteries (for instance, the North Carolina Education Lottery ${ }^{2}$ ) have addressed this issue in their communications to players.

Traffic in bearer instruments can result in concentrations of winners through what we might call network effects. Where does a player first learn that a ticket is a winner? Probably at a lottery retailer. Where does the player learn that the win is too big to be paid anonymously at retail? Again, probably at a retailer. Who sees that 'you need to go in to the office' is not a cause for joy? And who might have seen this scenario played out before? Probably, the person behind the counter at the lottery retailer.

If that person's network of acquaintances includes someone who has claimed winners from the lottery before, might it be helpful to put the uncomfortable winner in touch with that experienced winner? That is just the sort of personal service that gives a human touch to playing the lottery.

It may happen that, as a result of this referral, the winning lottery ticket changes hands at some price agreed upon by the uncomfortable and the experienced winner. The legality of this transaction
may vary from one jurisdiction to another. Regardless of whether the experienced winner (and eventual claimant) has broken a law, this kind of transaction tends to concentrate wins in a way that defies even the best-informed expectations about our games of chance. The experienced player may buy lots of tickets legitimately, but 'just lucky' only goes so far in explaining the record of claims.

> A LOTTERY WITH ‘INCREDIBLY LUCKY' WINNERS FACES A DILEMMA WITH REGARD TO PUBLIC STATEMENTS.

A lottery with 'incredibly lucky' winners faces a dilemma with regard to public statements. It is essential to convey that the games are, in fact, honest and that no one has hacked them. Otherwise, the lottery risks fundamental loss of public trust in its operational controls - this is the first horn of the dilemma. It may be desirable to acknowledge that winning tickets do sometimes change hands. Perhaps this can be done without impugning the honesty of the 'just very lucky' players. However, speculation about why someone would sell a winning ticket may lead to a conclusion that those winners are shady characters, probably not fully responsible citizens. The perception that there is something illegitimate about those players may then extend to lottery players in general - the 'lottery players are not like me' dreaded by the marketing department. That is the second horn of the dilemma.

Of course, 'lottery winners have something to hide' leads to 'lottery players are not like me' more reliably in some settings than in others. It is a fact that in some places, wanting to avoid the attention of the government may ring more as 'like me' than 'not like me.' Thus, while there is an urgent need to reassure players that there is zero tolerance for interfering with the games, there is less clarity about how to publicly address 'very lucky players.' Perhaps these players should be addressed privately and personally.

In order for a lottery to address these 'very lucky players' personally, it must
identify them. If referrals through the retailer network happen as speculated above, then there may be a statistical rationale for deciding whom to contact. That is, a retailer or group of retailers may, over a sufficient period of time, account for a volume of sales (say millions of dollars) sufficient for the 'law of large numbers' to predict the number of winners due to their sales. For example, we would expect that $\$ 10$ million of sales in the Pick 4 game would produce about 1,000 wins of the top prize. One thousand wins, and how many individual winners? Across the whole state, we may find that 1,000 wins go to 990 winners on average. If, in a particular group of retailers, we see 1,000 wins going to 100 winners, we have identified a group of 100 people that probably includes some players who have redeemed tickets originally purchased by someone else. It may be worthwhile to winnow this list of players and contact any who account for a big share of the total. Note that here, we have confidence in the statistical approach to take us as far as what to expect from \$10 million in sales, not from $\$ 10$ thousand. Likewise, we are more comfortable saying that 100 is different from 990, than saying 1 is different from 9. A statistical approach is useful just as long as it sticks to 'law of large numbers' territory.

To summarize: Any concentration of wins in a lottery game potentially erodes public confidence in the integrity of the lottery. This is partly because humans lack an intuitive understanding of what 'random' looks like, and mistake legitimate patchiness of wins for the result of some manipulation of the game. However, if winning tickets change hands before being claimed, concentrations of wins far beyond what might be expected from a random process can result. Maintaining public confidence in the lottery may require identifying and communicating directly with players who appear to be claiming wins far more often than would be consistent with their own good luck. If networks of acquaintance involving lottery retailers facilitate traffic in winning tickets, a statistical approach may help focus this communication effort.

## Notes:

1. Kahneman, Daniel. Thinking, Fast and Slow. Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2011. See chapter 10.
2. https://www.nclottery.com/

News/2016/9/21/Some-facts-and-information-on-players-who-beat-the-odds

## I HAD FUN ONCE

## IT WAS AWFUL.

But These Games Will Make Your Players Smile


# Bring Good Will and Responsibility to Holiday Lottery Play and Gifting 

By Lori Rugle, Responsible Gambling, NASPL



s we approach the holidays, it seemed the perfect time to highlight the Holiday Lottery Responsible Gambling Campaign (Holiday Campaign) for awareness and prevention of youth gambling, sponsored by the McGill University International Center for Youth Gambling Problems and High Risk Behaviors (McGill Center) and the National Council on Problem Gambling. The Holiday Campaign is a great example of how a broad range of stakeholders can come together to promote responsible gambling practices. The awareness of and prevention of underage gambling is an area where those in the gambling industry and problem gambling advocates can often find common ground and therefore a good starting point for collaboration. Even though some jurisdictions have statutes that specifically state that it is legal to gift lottery tickets to underage individuals, the Holiday Campaign is a great opportunity to raise awareness about the risks of youth gambling and to educate parents on the dangers of buying lottery tickets for children.

The McGill Center's Director, Dr. Jeff Derevensky, and Research Coordinator Lynette Gilbeau emphasized that research has consistently shown that young people begin gambling at earlier ages than they engage in other risky or potentially addictive behaviors including tobacco, alcohol and drug use. The younger the age that someone starts gambling, the higher their risk for developing gambling problems. Additionally, research has shown that parental permissiveness
regarding gambling as well as modeling of gambling behaviors contributes to youth risk for problem gambling. Therefore, in 2006 the McGill Center in collaboration with LotoQuébec initiated their holiday youth responsible gambling campaign. This campaign was joined by the National Council on Problem Gambling in 2007 and has consistently grown ever since to include 55 lotteries in the U.S., Canada and Internationally.

In 2013, the NASPL board of directors passed a unanimous resolution supporting the Holiday Campaign and encouraging participation by all NASPL members.

The goal of the campaign is to educate parents and other adults that lottery tickets are not appropriate gifts for underage youth. Per Derevensky, this is an important initiative because it is much easier to reach children than it is to reach their parents. Youth can be reached through school-based programs, for example.

## Campaign Expansion

To encourage engagement and continued commitment and growth of this youth gambling awareness program, the NCPG has expanded this program to non-lottery organizations for the first time in 2018. This can allow for greater collaboration and coordination between lotteries and community stakeholders such as NCPG affiliate state councils, problem gambling treatment agencies and providers and preventionists.

Also for the first time this year, NCPG offers three levels of participation to encourage entry of new participants as well as enhanced


## NASPL Resolution

In 2013, the NASPL board of directors unanimously passed a resolution stating that the NCPG/McGill University Holiday Campaign is an effective way to promote responsible gift giving of lottery games and products, and that member organizations are encouraged to participate to the extent allowed by their governing laws and regulations.

For detailed information about the program this year, visit www.ncpgambling.org/holiday.

## 2018 CAMPAIGN PARTICIPATION LEVELS

## LOTTERY LEVEL 1

- Press Release or Media Placement
- 1-5 Social Media Messages Posted in December (promoting responsible gaming / appropriate age for lottery play / youth gambling stats / parental awareness tips)


## LOTTERY LEVEL 3

- Press Release or Media Placement
- 8-12 Social Media Messages Posted in December (promoting responsible gambling / appropriate age for lottery play / youth gambling stats / parental awareness tips)
- Designated Retailer Training / Messaging on Youth Gambling
- Targeted Print / Digital Design Advising Parents to 'Gift Responsibly' / 'Lottery Tickets are Not for Children'
- Commercial (TV or Radio) advising parents against purchasing lottery tickets for kids


## LOTTERY LEVEL 2

- Press Release or Media Placement
- 4-10 Social Media Messages Posted in December (promoting responsible gambling / appropriate age for lottery play / youth gambling stats / parental awareness tips)
- Designated Retailer Training / Messaging on Youth Gambling
- Targeted Print / Digital Design Advising Parents to 'Gift Responsibly' / 'Lottery Tickets are Not for Children'


## NON-LOTTERY PARTICIPANT

- Press Release or Media Placement
- 1-5 Social Media Messages Posted in December (promoting responsible gambling / appropriate age for lottery play / youth gambling stats / parental awareness tips)
- Dedicated Outreach Campaign or Event Educating Community on Risks of Youth Gambling
activities from long-term participants. NCPG Executive Director Keith Whyte and Communications Manager Cait Huble noted that they recognized the need to make the Holiday Campaign more accessible. They hope that these levels make it clearer and easier for any lottery to do what is feasible and manageable for their budget and mandate.

NCPG also provides a wide range of materials and resources in their Holiday Campaign Toolkit to make it easy to get started. The Toolkit is available once a lottery has registered for the Holiday Campaign. Additionally, providing higher levels of participation allows lotteries that are able to create more extensive campaigns to have their efforts recognized. These new levels are listed in the chart on this page.

Guidelines for creating a lottery Holiday Campaign are also included in the NCPG Holiday Campaign Toolkit. Whyte


Give acilld a toy, not t ticket. Lottery tidets are WOT suitable gifts for children under 18.





## Oropoffin new, unirapeed toy to:
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and Huble point out that these guidelines were developed to assist lotteries in conducting a successful campaign to raise awareness and public knowledge about the risks of youth gambling. Public education campaigns, like the Holiday Campaign, play an important role in changing social norms and providing basic facts and education to members of the community.

## Campaign Guidelines

The campaign guidelines are designed to help highlight the educational components of the campaign and avoid triggering, stigmatizing and demeaning language or imagery.

- The Holiday Lottery Responsible Gaming Campaign is not a pro- or anti-gambling campaign.
- All messaging should be designed to be educational rather than promotional.
- Campaign language should be non-judgmental, non-stigmatizing and non-demeaning.
- Campaign graphics should not feature any type of gambling related imagery.
- Lottery participants should avoid placing explicit sales messages in campaign materials.
- Children should not be used as part of the campaign graphics or imagery.
- Consider recognizing that many different faiths and communities have events during the holiday season.

Whyte and Huble echo Derevensky and Gilbeau in their hope that the Holiday Campaign can mark the beginning of a year-long commitment to address the awareness and prevention of youth gambling. All lotteries are encouraged to work with community problem gambling advocates and stakeholders to assure that throughout the year, lottery advertising and marketing does not directly appeal to those who are underage for purchasing lottery tickets. Huble added that this is also an opportunity for lotteries to share and learn from each other, and examples of previous lottery holiday campaigns are included on the NCPG Holiday Campaign website.

NASPL's responsible play and advertising guidelines specifically address marketing to minors at any time during the year and reflect the Holiday Campaign guidelines. These guidelines include the following recommendations:

1. Persons depicted as lottery players in lottery advertising should not be, nor appear to be, under the legal purchase age.
2. Age restrictions should, at a minimum, be posted at the point of sale.
3. Advertising should not appear in media directed primarily to those under the legal age.
4. Lotteries should not be advertised nor marketed at venues where the audience is reasonably and primarily expected to be below the legal purchase age.
5. Advertising should not contain symbols or language that are primarily intended to appeal to minors or those under the legal purchase age.

- The use of animation should be monitored to ensure that characters are not associated with animated characters on children's programs.
- Celebrity or other testimonials should not be used which would primarily appeal to persons under the legal purchase age.


## Working with Partners

The old adage, "It is better to ask forgiveness than permission," doesn't apply here. It is much better to consult with responsible gambling advocates and problem gambling preventionists if there is any question about aspects of an ad campaign or of a product design being unnecessarily appealing to those who are underage.

The McGill/NCPG Holiday Campaign is a great time to strengthen and build cooperative partnerships between your lottery and community stakeholders. Whether you are asking for input, developing responsible gambling PSAs, educating retailers about preventing underage purchases, or conducting outreach to parents and educators, the Holiday Campaign can be a way to maximize responsible gambling awareness efforts and build positive collaborative relationships. For example, the Connecticut Lottery creatively partnered with the Connecticut Children's Medical Center in a combined Holiday Campaign to convey the responsible gifting message as well as to solicit donations of toys for the Medical Center.

I hope you all have fun, joy and pride in the positive, responsible play campaigns you develop for your communities this holiday season.


Lori Rugle Responsible Gambling, NASPL

## From

## Personalization to Loyalty and Vice-Versa: The Challenge of Our Times



## How is personalization defined today?

Customers are the most significant element of a business enterprise. To achieve success, it is important to ensure their loyalty through personalized offerings and experiences.

Personalization means being able to understand the different needs and preferences of different targets and adequately diversify products and services to establish an experience-based relationship with a customer. This will ensure repetitive selection, loyalty and trust.

In the recent past, personalization was achieved through basic targeting and the rules were simple: create your product, choose your channel, define your consumer and offer accordingly. Nowadays, however, personalization is much more complicated.

On average, global consumers* use two to three different channels for purchases - six out of ten make purchases online while 13 percent have not conducted even one physical purchase in a one-month period. At the same time, multi-channel purchases lead to multimode payments; global consumers use a mean of two to three payment methods and the choice is mainly defined in relation
to the purchase channel. Also, in terms of preferred payment methods, hard cash is directly rivaled by plastic!

Today, personalization dictates the use of new technologies, sophisticated CRM tools and creative solutions. By leveraging the advantages of the latest technologies, such as big data analytics, artificial intelligence and virtual reality, business can build personalized experiences to satisfy and retain its customer base.

Adaptation and experience-based targeting is even more important for current and potential players of games of chance, which are 75 percent of global consumers. Being more progressive, openminded and technologically advanced than the average consumer, players are very diverse in terms of habits, lifestyle and preferences; thus, they tend to appreciate a personalized approach more than non-players. They are ready to interact with operators that understand them and serve them in a linear but multi-platform manner.

## Does personalization equal loyalty?

Personalization is one of the most significant factors when it comes to customer attraction and retention. Loyalty
schemes are labeled as the most tangible and convenient tool for operators to retain their customer base.

At the same time, loyalty schemes are also appreciated and used by more than six out of ten consumers; interestingly, players of games of chance use loyalty scheme benefits to a greater extent, reaching 75 percent.

As expected, loyalty cards are the primary tool, but more advanced methods, such as mobile apps and e-accounts, are on the rise and one quarter of consumers already prefer them. In terms of benefits, real-value returns are highly appreciated; as such, monetary benefits, be it discounts, rebates or freebies, are expected by the majority of consumers.

Global consumers express a growing interest in the personalization of services: Monetary benefits are expected by 74 percent to be adjusted to individual preferences and habits; almost half of consumers would appreciate nonmonetary "privileges," such as personalized offerings, priority service, VIP treatment or access to exclusive merchandise. Again, players seem to lead the way and expect services to be adjusted to their personal preferences to a greater extent.

Beyond these, as loyalty schemes expand, personalized mobile or online
"tips" on how to make better use of their benefits is appreciated by six out of ten consumers.

In the B2B market, personalization is still a challenge and not yet a fully-endorsed "project." As is the current standard, only those who offer games of chance seem more progressive and open; more than nine out of ten offer some type of loyalty benefits and, most importantly, through a variety of vehicles. Thus, the use of a mobile app ranks second among gaming companies with an impressive 38 percent, right after the classic loyalty card, which holds 54 percent.

Again, as the early-adopters in the market, gaming companies almost equally offer both monetary and non-monetary benefits to their customers, reaching a mean number of three types of benefits each.

## How much more personal can we really get?

Personalization is based on adaptation and empathy - the ability to understand who the player is and to provide diversified offerings in a diversified manner through multiple channels, targeting each one on a personal level. In the rapidly-changing gaming industry, every player is at the center - a player who connects and switches across multiple brands, products and channels and who is becoming more and more identifiable. Personalization and ad-hoc targeting and treatment is crucial for gaming operators who want to be ahead of competition.

As a global leader, INTRALOT has anticipated this need and has an advanced CRM platform that enables true personalized connection with the players. We offer the ideal solution for any gaming operator who aspires to be genuinely player-centric.

Products such as INTRALOT's Player Pulse include a real time, multi-level targeting engine, which takes into account not only personal characteristics but also players' interactions at every touchpoint - retail and online, game and financial activity, and loyalty level. Numerous types of promotions (from simple achievements to complex combination of rules) and bonuses, designed ad-hoc down to the level of game attributes, can address personalized player needs, whereas an advanced loyalty engine gives players points, based on multiple, fully configurable criteria and with diverse prizes per segment and loyalty level.
*The Profile of the Study A quantitative online study conducted via distinct pre-structured questionnaires that addressed 11,000 consumers, aged 18-54, residents of urban centers in 11 countries and 2,107 retailers, either involved in gaming or not, in 12 countries, across five continents (North America, South America, Europe, Asia and Australia), during August and September 2017. The study was led by Focus Bari S.A., a leading Greek market research agency, with the cooperation of SSi and Isra Center.



# CPS for Successs Arrived at Destination 

The 2018 NASPL Annual Conference explored how to get where the industry needs to be.

By Patricia McQueen



The NASPL community descended on Cleveland in September, and the Ohio Lottery put on a show worth remembering. With the theme 'GPS for Success,' the
educational program was less about what the industry needs to do and more about how it should do it. For example, avoiding roadblocks with cashless initiatives, moving ahead with standardization, and finding the proper onramps for sports betting.

It's not surprising that much of the conversation at this particular annual conference revolved around sports betting. After all, just four months earlier, the Supreme Court essentially overturned the Professional and Amateur Sports Protection Act (PASPA), opening the floodgates for legal sports betting around the country, should individual states choose to go down that path. By this fall, some states had already launched sports betting (with or without lotteries taking part) and others were getting ready.

Of course there was more to NASPL 2018 than sports betting conversations, and the following pages will provide a taste of what attendees found - in both the conference sessions and the exhibit hall. Videos of the keynote and general sessions are available for viewing on the NASPL Matrix.

## Keynote Speakers

The first keynote speaker was Andrew Davis, whose presentation focused on the power of anticipation. He corrected two fallacies that businesses have today. One is that people have no attention spans. The reality is that despite short attention spans, our audience will pay attention as long as we give them something worth paying attention to! The second fallacy is that no one has time anymore. But again,
"our audience will make time to have experiences that keep their interest."

The secret to grabbing and holding attention is what Davis calls the Curiosity Gap - what you know vs. what you want to know. That gap develops tension, and the higher the tension, the greater our need for more information. "You need your audience to chase answers. If there are no more questions, there is no more attention."

He recommended two things lotteries can do to build that tension to keep that

attention. First, raise the stakes by raising the tension - show what the audience desires and ration it one thing at a time. And second, to delay the reveal in a way to increase tension. Lotteries can find great ways to delay the reveal!

Keynote speaker Jay Baer closed the educational portion of the conference with a rousing talk on customer service and creating the ultimate customer experience. He did his homework - studying lotteries' websites and social media pages and peppering his presentation with examples from the industry.

Baer encourages businesses to "hug your haters," embracing customer complaints and answering them, whatever they might be. "To get fewer complaints, you first must get more complaints." After all, the holy grail of marketing is to turn your customers into marketers, and that is done by first understanding and then exceeding their expectations. Service is the easiest way to do that, because customers never expect much.

It's all about the customer experience, he emphasized. Emotion is always first, information is second.

## Sports Betting

There were several opportunities during the conference to discuss the potential (and pitfalls) of sports betting in the United

States. One was a general session where the directors of two American lotteries were joined by European experts in the field. Delaware Lottery Director Vernon Kirk and Rhode Island Lottery Executive Director Gerry Aubin were joined by Ludovico Calvi, President of the Global Lottery Monitoring System, and Arjan van't Veer, Secretary General of the European Lotteries and Toto Association.

The Global Lottery Monitoring System (GLMS) is the lottery industry's mutualized monitoring system on sports betting, with 31 members on four continents. It aims at preventing, detecting and analyzing irregular and suspicious betting activities that could question the integrity of a sport competition. With all that activity, Calvi is in a good position to offer advice to American lotteries.

Globally, sports betting is growing faster than lottery, he noted. Among the
advantages of sports betting is its adaptability to both digital and retail markets, the connection it provides consumers to the world of sports through digital social engagement and entertainment, and its attraction to the millennial generation.

Perhaps the biggest challenge American lotteries face is the huge illegal sports betting industry that developed after PASPA was created in 1992. With PASPA struck down as unconstitutional last May, at first glance it might seem that newly-legal operators would simply supplant the illegal market. But that's not the case - at least it won't be easy. "Conditions have to be right for legal sports betting to capture much of the unregulated illegal market," said Calvi.

It will be difficult for lotteries and other legal operators to provide a balance between commercial effectiveness and social responsibility. The former is necessary

# NASPL Associate Member Panel featuring Jay Gendron, Antonios Kerastaris, Pat McHugh and Doug Pollard, moderated by Rebecca Hargrove. 


to make the effort worthwhile; the latter is a requirement of regulated operators in general and lottery operators in particular. Around the world, mobile and in-play bets are driving the sports betting market, and it remains to be seen how the American market will develop.

In Europe, sports betting evolved as a natural product for lotteries, explained van't Veer. Despite that history, private operators entered the European market as online gambling was introduced, and these companies now compete aggressively with lotteries for consumer business, offering more betting options, more sports on the menu and higher payouts.

As a result, lotteries have had to increase payouts to keep some level of competitiveness, resulting in lower long-term revenues for good causes. That is something with which American lotteries will have to come to terms, added van't Veer - to define their appetite for competition in order to take more of the market. He echoed Calvi's comments that the level of competition, along with profit goals and social responsibility, will determine the industry's future.

It's the ability to be competitive that van't Veer thinks is more important than anything else - because that's what attracts new players. Lotteries do have the advantage of their extensive retail networks, and they should be able to build a truly omni-channel approach, offering sports betting through retail, mobile and
online channels. He added one important word of warning - to make sure that any legislation authorizing sports betting specifically forbids betting on the outcome of lottery games.

Delaware's Kirk recounted the experience in his tiny state since sports books were established at the three racetrack casinos in 2009. Selected retail establishments were added to the mix in 2012, but until May of this year, all bets were limited to parlay wagering. That changed with the Supreme Court decision, and the casinos in June launched full-scale sports betting. Those casinos also still offer parlay wagering, but those wagers are now the mainstay of the retail locations.

In Rhode Island, Aubin was looking forward to launching sports betting at its two casinos; the Lottery has an agreement with IGT and William Hill. Both he and Kirk are convinced that sports betting attracts the millennial audience so craved by lotteries and other gaming operators.

## Associate Members Talk Sports

Top-level NASPL Associate Members also addressed the topic of sports betting during their annual general session discussion moderated by Rebecca Hargrove, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Tennessee Lottery. Participating were Jay Gendron, IGT Chief Operating Officer, Lottery; Antonios Kerastaris, Chief Executive

Officer, INTRALOT; Pat McHugh, Senior Vice President, Global Lottery Systems, Scientific Games; and Doug Pollard, Co-Chief Executive Officer, Pollard Banknote.
"It's an exciting time in this industry right now," said Gendron. He marveled that something so big has hit the industry and has had such an impact in a short period of time - just a few months in the wake of the PASPA repeal. "Literally every state in the country is interested in this." His first advice is for lotteries to designate one or two people to become experts on the subject of sports betting. "It's a much different, highly specialized business that [most] U.S. lotteries aren't accustomed to yet." Lotteries should consult with experts, issue an RFI to learn from experts before issuing an RFP in order to learn how to structure that RFP.

All the panelists emphasized the need to educate stakeholders as part of the path towards legislative approval. "A big part of this now is education," said McHugh educating policymakers and the industry that it's not just about sports betting. It's a channel that attracts new players. He also advises lotteries to keep to their core mission - raising funds for good causes. When looking at payout structures, for example, lotteries need to examine what their competitors are doing in their markets - legal and illegal. Citing the example of Delaware, he noted that sports betting in casino environments may hold just seven percent, but parlay bets at retail may hold as much as 30 percent. "There are ways

to manage the forms of gaming so you can hold more" and therefore keep to the mission of maximizing proceeds for beneficiaries.

Pollard urged lotteries to see sports betting in context, as a way to connect to younger, newer players. "Every lottery faces that challenge - how do we expand our player base?" He doesn't see sports betting itself as a major source of incremental profits, but it becomes vastly more important in terms of attracting new players. "Once you've got those players in the digital world, it's up to the lottery to do customer engagement marketing to drive those players to products that generate revenue." He also sees sports as a way to help lotteries step up the use of mobile devices - the go-to-tool for sports bettors around the world. "We can't continue to just be a retail business; we have to be a retail business that has a mobile element to it. That will help maintain customer attention in a world where there is a lot of competition."

Unlike some who think sports betting won't contribute a great deal of revenue to a lottery, Kerastaris believes it has the potential of doing just that, that it can generate twice as much, or even
more, revenue to the state than does a traditional lottery. And because sports betting has so much potential, he doesn't see the sense in trying to geographically limit it to a few casino locations. "This is about distribution, this is about the retail network, this is about online. I don't think the customer of sports betting in a casino is the same customer that will buy a simple parlay ticket at retail. That's why, since the game is so big, you need to have all the distribution channels to capture the players at their leisure. Limiting the game is not the way to go."

The next day, Scientific Games, INTRALOT and IGT were also provided an additional opportunity to address NASPL attendees with topics of their choice.

Scientific Games' Jennifer Welshons, the company's Lottery Group Senior Vice President, Marketing, focused on "15 Minutes of REAL," things that lotteries are - or should be - doing right now even as other things are coming down the road. They included the importance of game content and promotion; responsiveness to both retail partners and competitive pressures; utilizing data and insights and maintaining consumer relevance; understanding stakeholder economics,
the supply chain and business models; and above all, maintaining the integrity of the lottery product.

Scott Hoss, Senior Marketing Manager of INTRALOT USA, discussed the success of the Ohio Lottery modernization project. That includes keno, aided by self-service terminals; the rollout of EZPlay as a companion to keno on those terminals; and retrofitting of cashless payment options on all of the Lottery's vending and self-service machines. Nick Papadoglou, the company's Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer, emphasized that customer loyalty is going to be the most important thing for lotteries going forward, in both digital and retail environments.

Paul Riley, IGT's Vice President of Innovation and Lottery Transformation, stressed the critical importance for lotteries to find a way to jump into the rapidly growing BOPUIS retail model - buy online, pick up in store. He also sees the potential of digital tickets. Charles Cohen, IGT PlayDigital Vice President, circled back on sports betting, noting that while it's complicated, it really isn't that scary. It is, however, a "team sport" to get things started.



NASPL $2018 \cdot$ CLEVELAND, OH
Hot Lottery Topics
Breakout sessions delved into the details of some of the most important topics in the industry today.

By Patricia McQueen, with additional reporting by Mackenzie Whitacre and Marie Kilbane Seckers

號 the general sessions at the conference, there were seven breakout sessions drilling down into specific subjects of importance to lotteries going forward. They worked with the conference theme of helping lotteries get where they need to be, whether talking about sports betting, advancement of cashless lottery purchases, industry standardization via APIs and XML, responsible gambling, data analytics, cyber security or video lottery.

Not surprisingly, there was a lot of interest in the session on sports betting, which came a day after a general session on the topic. A panel of experts helped shed some light on some of the choices that are being made across the U.S. since the May Supreme Court decision. Participating were Daniel Wallach, Partner, Gaming \& Sports Law, Becker \& Poliakoff; Mark Hichar, Shareholder and Member, Global Gaming Group, Greenberg Traurig; and Joe Asher, Chief Executive Officer, William Hill U.S. The panel was moderated by Ohio State Senator Bill Coley.

For legislators like Coley, it's critical to do things right when you try something new. Top on his list were things like protecting the integrity of sports, keeping it fair for consumers and profitable to stakeholders, and minimizing problem gambling impacts. And to do things right, it takes time. "We see it as imperative for states and state lotteries to study sports betting in sufficient depth to make the best decisions possible," said Asher.

All the panelists agreed that lotteries have a great opportunity with sports betting if they are diligent. "It's imperative for lotteries to control the discussion more and show thought leadership," said Asher. "There is a golden opportunity before the next legislative session." Added Wallach, "Lotteries could be a path of least resistance."

One big consideration is setting up the regulations properly in order to compete against a well-established illegal market - it will be tough to eliminate or even reduce that market. Tax rates, license structure, number of licensees and law enforcement policies are all factors. "You have to allow sports betting to be competitive," said Hichar.

One key area in which the panelists were divided was on just how gaming information should be monitored and shared to ensure consumer protection. ESSA (Sports Betting Integrity), the non-profit clearinghouse and auditor of sports betting data in Europe, was cited as an example for the industry. Its expertise in data intelligence offers protections against irregularities in wagers, money laundering and other illegal activities that could harm the industry. Wallach and Hichar thought some form of federal oversight or data repository would be ideal, but Asher didn't see this as realistic, based on the state-bystate development of sports betting and the need to ensure integrity across all those regulators. He calls a centralized portal "very theoretical and interesting," but doesn't see how that could happen.


## Advances in Cashless

Two breakout sessions provided updates on current industry initiatives on the loosely related topics of cashless initiatives, the NASPL standard API and the 7-Eleven/XML project. All are designed to make the selling and purchasing of lottery products easier and attractive to both players and retailers.

In the cashless session, participants addressed the various issues that have been raised along the way to implementing cashless solutions in the lottery industry. Speakers included Ohio Lottery IT Director Maureen Hall, Virginia Lottery Director of Finance Deborah Courtney and WorldPay Gaming Vice President Andrew Crowe. Greg Bowers, the Ohio Lottery's Finance Director, led the session.

Last winter the Ohio Lottery did a massive conversion of some 7,500 self service machines, adding cashless capabilities to the existing product. It was the largest cashless push in the industry to date, setting the stage for the future in Ohio. Attendees were advised to consider all possible future needs before buying brand new self-service machines without any cashless payment options.

The road to getting card issuers to accept lottery purchases hasn't been easy, but industry representatives have worked hard with Visa, for example, to create distinct codes for lottery to distinguish these purchases from casino gambling. Still, the system isn't foolproof, and payments are still sometimes rejected or recorded improperly, triggering excess fees as cash advances.

One big consideration on the lottery side is how to balance sales with corporate social responsibility and responsible gambling needs (the possibility of placing spending limits on the cards used, for example, and limiting purchases to debit cards only). Another is determining who pays the merchant fees. Other industries have discovered that upon going cashless, they have increased sales above and beyond any fees incurred; lotteries are likely to see the same result. After all, if a fee is charged, that means a sale has been made.

The overall advice given by the panel is good advice for any new initiative: crawl, walk, run ... learn, adjust, repeat.

## XML/API Standardization

The same could be said for the standardization movements in the industry today. Two key projects under the NASPL umbrella shared a breakout session - a discussion of the XML project with 7-Eleven and an update on the standard API initiative.

The XML discussion featured Mark Hagen, Senior Category Manager for 7-Eleven, IGT's Senior Product Manager Jim Brannon and Scientific Games' Director of Product Development Kent Christensen.

Hagen described how the company's accounting department "absolutely loves"

the XML standard, which is active in Maine, Maryland and Texas, and in progress in Delaware, Pennsylvania and New York. There are 7-Eleven stores in 33 lottery jurisdictions, and the company is eager to bring the XML standard to as many as possible. "Hopefully we can start moving forward at a faster pace."

The main challenges, of course, stem from differences in the ways each lottery does things - inventory, invoicing, pack status, free ticket accounting and more. "But essentially we are all doing the same thing - we all sell, we all settle," said Brannon. "It's how we take what we all do and put that into granular data points to be useful for the chains. That's the whole idea. The profile of our trade styles is changing, and to make our product more appealing to the new profile, we have to allow them to automate."
"This is a very exciting time in the industry," said Christensen. "We are talking with retailers and solving problems. There isn't one silver bullet but as we move toward standardization, what is good for one retailer is good for all retailers."

Hagen also mentioned another of 7-Eleven's priorities - mobile solutions that will help it digitally connect and transact directly with customers. "One thing we are very interested in is a mobile app that will allow someone to pre-select their transaction, verify their age, and get digital versions of tickets. We think that will expand the player base and we need states to work with us on this."

Standardization via the NASPL API was the subject of the latter part of the session. Presenters were Terry Presta, Executive Director of the Kansas Lottery, who has led the NASPL Retail Modernization Committee; Paul Riley, Vice President of Innovation and Lottery Transformation for IGT; and Tom Delacenserie, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Kentucky Lottery.

Presta described the API development process and how critical it is for the industry. "We've been a successful business but we haven't done business with some of the largest retailers in the country," and that was a problem that needed to be addressed. A big challenge is to make lottery seamless for retailers and players, without adding costs to the retail model. It's all very complex and not without costs for lotteries. He implored lottery executives to hang in there as development continues. "All the unbelievable benefits are yet to come, but they will come. I've had directors ask if this is worth it. I'm more certain than I was three years ago. It is the right thing to do and we are going in the right direction."

Riley agreed. "The initial win for our

industry is in-lane, but the standard API is not just for in-lane. It can open up new channels for us, so this has long term benefits."

The initial goal with the API is to get lottery products in-lane at those largest retailers, and next year Kansas will be launching an in-lane solution with Abacus and Scientific Games. Other solutions are already in the marketplace - the Linq3 Lottery Card and Ontario Lottery \& Gaming's Quick Ticket, for example. These products and others in development use Blackhawk, Incomm and other networks. "It's a paradigm shift for lottery," said Presta of those solutions. "Historically we've deployed all the capital; this is all riding on existing capital," meaning the retail terminals and the relationships retailers already have with those networks.

Delacenserie described his efforts in starting a dialogue directly with some of the country's biggest retailers, to see what those retailers thought about lottery. If they didn't actually have an interest in pursuing all of this, then perhaps lotteries were just spinning their wheels. But the answer was a resounding yes - most of these retailers look at lottery as a growth category and would love ways to streamline the product.

Some of their major concerns come as no surprise - convincing senior
management to give up prime real estate in-lane, employee training and speed of the transactions. Anything slowing down that speed, such as having to break up a shopping cart because lottery in one state may not be able to take cashless payments, is a big negative for them. In talking with these retailers about in-lane solutions, Delacenserie said that most of them were open to different solutions, not necessarily limited to just an API interface. Another insight from these discussions was that the retailers preferred to talk with lotteries directly, not necessarily the industry's vendors. "It's important that we continue to have this dialogue directly with the retailers themselves, and l'll get together with this retail group again. I find it very encouraging that we are now talking in-lane solutions."

## Responsible Gambling

The session on responsible gambling focused on GameSense, the innovative program developed by the British Columbia Lottery Corp (BCLC). Moderator Kevin Gass, BCLC's Vice-President, Lottery Gaming, led the discussion, which included panelists Bev Mehmel, Director of Corporate Responsibility for Manitoba Liquor \& Lotteries Corp.; Chelsea Turner, Chief of Strategy \& Governmental and Operational


Affairs for the Connecticut Lottery Corp. who is currently serving as Vice President of the National Council on Problem Gambling; and Marlene Warner, NCPG's President and the Executive Director of the Massachusetts Council on Compulsive Gaming.

GameSense was created in response to the "finger pointing approach" in responsible gambling messaging. The old way "just turned out to be entirely counterproductive," said Gass. "We're all about helping players make informed choices." The GameSense program, and its related messaging, is designed to encourage healthy gambling behaviors in a friendly, nonjudgmental way.

Manitoba adopted GameSense in 2013, wanting to change the feeling that responsible gambling was just another way to say problem gambling. "What we really wanted was to have a conversation with players and have a sustainable business model," said Mehmel. "We have a vested interest in keeping customers a long time." In the province, GameSense is promoted at point-of-sale and retailers go through responsible gambling training. Manitoba also annually conducts a player survey to measure recall of GameSense concepts as part of its continuous improvement process.

The Connecticut Lottery Corporation became the first U.S. lottery to license

GameSense in December 2015, adding the program to coincide with its launch of Keno. GameSense was adopted by the Massachusetts Gaming Commission in 2017, and a GameSense Information Center, run by the Massachusetts Council on Problem Gambling, operates daily at each of the state's casinos - two are currently open. GameSense staff also attend fairs and festivals around the state, and the Massaschusetts Lottery staff also receives education on GameSense principles. "Go to the sales and claims offices and you'll see GameSense information all around," said Warner. "Game Sense provides employees with a sense of pride in their jobs and customers with confidence in their play."

## Data Analytics

Increasingly, more of what a lottery does is based on research and data, and a panel of four discussed the topic at the conference: Mike Gonzalez, Data Analytics and Research Manager for the Ohio Lottery; Shannon DeHaven, Deputy Director of Digital Operations for the Michigan Lottery; Simon Jaworski, President of Leger (USA); and Jim McConnell, Director of Consumer Insights for MARC USA.

Gonzalez started the discussion by reviewing the different types of analysts lotteries may employ, and stressed the
importance of providing a formal structure to a lottery's data analytics program.

DeHaven emphasized the need to use player-level data to create improved customer experiences and more personalized messaging. Data should also be used to make better marketing decisions in order to improve ROI.

Qualitative research is important for increased effectiveness, noted McConnell, going beyond the standard metrics with things like open-ended diagnostics and asking consumers about specific ad components and one-word takeaways. Continuous brand tracking is also something every lottery should be doing.

Jaworski covered the major "big data" resources currently available to lotteries: VIP/Players' Club data, online sales data and data available through partnerships (with retailers, for example). He also recommended developing player personas through segmentation, in order to better satisfy players' wants and needs, retain players, and create more specific, appropriate messaging.

## Video Lottery

Eight American lotteries and several Canadian provinces offer video lottery terminals - or slot machines, depending on the market. These gaming products

generate considerable revenue for their jurisdictions, and the breakout session covered several topics currently faced by these lotteries.

Participating were Byron Bridger, Director of Marketing for the Atlantic Lottery; Walt Eisele, Chief Technology Officer, Lottery, Scientific Games; David Flinn, Regional Vice President, IGT Canada; and Michael Wilson, Senior Manager, Product Portfolio, Gaming Operations, for the Oregon Lottery.

One important discussion centered around evolving the VLT product to exceed the wants and needs of players. Flinn noted that there are three elements to this. The first is hardware, in which he believes the industry is doing a good job. The second is content, which is a bit more challenging, but one growing area is progressive and larger jackpots. Finally, marketing and awareness programs, and unfortunately the industry hasn't really allowed VLTs to come out of the shadows. "There needs to be a stronger campaign to alleviate the stigma of VLTs."

Wilson suggested that VLTs need to be more than a box with a game. It's the content and the experience that keeps people coming back, and VLTs should interact more with players. Linking VLT play to player accounts would enhance the experience, and even provide better options for responsible gambling. Oregon's new games will have a whole host of new RG tools and other features, for example.

There could also be responsible ways to add rewards to VLT play - not necessarily encouraging more play, but offering other
types of rewards for simply adhering to a player's own RG settings, perhaps a state park pass, for example. It also makes sense to combine VLT and traditional play in a lottery's loyalty program, noted Eisele. This creates known play which offers a number of benefits to both lotteries and players.

The group concluded the session with discussions of technology standardization and data sharing. "We need a seamless back end that serves all channels - VLTs, traditional lottery, casinos and online play," said Flinn. "The consumer is going to force the industry to evolve by deciding what and where they want to play," added Bridger. "I hope this forces greater standardization across the industry." He also noted that Atlantic Lottery shares data results with all vendors, so everyone can see what their competitors' products are doing. "This enables better product development and speed to market."

Finally, Wilson implored lotteries to obtain better access to their own data. "Systems are about collecting data, and we need to be getting better data out of those systems. That data helps us make business decisions."

## Cyber Security

An eye-opening look into the Dark Web was provided by Kyle Loven, National Director of Computer Forensic Services. There, anything and everything is up for sale, from credit card numbers to hacker services and things far more sinister. Because of that market, "we are all under assault," with people trying to obtain our data and information.

Ransomware, phishing and spoofing are growing more and more sophisticated every day, and lotteries are a prime target for scams and security breaches because of the money that flows through the industry. Most lottery scams, noted Loven, are centered in Jamaica.

He advised lotteries to be diligent and to slow down - avoiding knee-jerk reactions and taking the time to design a whole culture of information security. And because scammers can never be stopped, it's most important for lotteries to make consumers aware of potential scams.


Awarded the title of Best New Instant Game at the conference was the DC Lottery for its game Neighborhoods. The unique game won out over another finalist, The Big Spin from Ontario Lottery and Gaming.

The \$10 Neighborhoods game came from the idea that the District of Columbia is a very special place, explained Stephen Cooke, the Lottery's Instant Product Manager. Rich in culture and diversity, the city is a unique place to visit and, if you are very lucky, to live. Historically, instant tickets from the DC Lottery have had local flavor that has resonated well with players. But it was time to move the idea to the next level. "When we wanted to design the next best thing, we wanted to cast a wider net and celebrate the city in a way we have never done before," said Cooke.

DC is made up of more than 130 neighborhoods in four quadrants. Each neighborhood is distinct, distinguished by its history, geography, architecture, demographics and culture. "We set out to develop a dynamic campaign that
featured multiple scenes of some of the iconic neighborhoods of DC. Each ticket is designed to tell a story and is strong enough to stand on its own. But when put together, they would tell the real story of Washington DC, not what you see in the news."

The first pulse of the game launched in July 2017, featuring 12 tickets, each showing a major neighborhood. "It was a labor of love," said Cooke of the effort to drive around the city, taking photographs of the neighborhoods and getting all the necessary approvals to create the tickets. The marketing campaign, including a wonderful television spot, featured a "love letter" to the city, to connect with players and residents on an emotional level. A custom website was created for the game, and players could collect as many neighborhood tickets as possible and enter them into a second chance drawing for a $\$ 500,000$ grand prize designed to facilitate home ownership in the city. Players were also asked to vote for the next group of neighborhoods to be featured on the tickets. "We wanted to make sure that this ticket was the talk of the town."

To further player engagement, "We designed \#ShowYourDC, where players were encouraged to send in pictures and short videos of their favorite places in the city." Themed events were held around the District, including a Family Feud-style Neighborhoods game near the Lottery's store at Union Station. "We were all over the city."

Just in time for the holidays, Phase II launched - six tickets featuring the six neighborhoods chosen by the players during the first phase. The new tickets helped build holiday ticket sales, although the first phase had already been incredibly successful. "[The game] was a big brand booster for the DC Lottery," said Cooke, noting that the commercials were well received and there were more than 61,000 entries into the second chance contest. "The positive reaction to the ticket and marketing campaigns enabled us to make connections to visitors and residents," who probably wouldn't have paid any attention to the Lottery prior to this campaign. "To this day we are still getting calls and comments about Neighborhoods."


0ntario Lottery and Gaming struck it rich with its game Hit or Miss, winning both the Best New Draw Game award and taking home a couple of Batchy trophies for the related television advertising campaign. Hit or Miss shared the finals spotlight with the Michigan Lottery's Fast Cash game.
"Our philosophy for innovation is that great ideas always start with great observations, listening to the customers, sitting down, talking, and cataloguing these insights," explained OLG's Senior Manager of Product Innovation Luke Stilin. A few years ago, OLG leadership had asked staff to do the impossible - build a product that generates revenue and brings in new players under 35 without alienating the core player base. That challenge is something every lottery faces.

OLG took on the task with gusto, choosing three basic barriers to address and overcome in order to create a new product and a new experience for players.

First was the idea that 'I never match any numbers,' a feeling not limited to those under age 35. "We looked at our product portfolio and our prize structures and thought we could do something better."

Next was the idea that players had to wait seven days for a drawing - Canada's biggest game, for example, is Lotto Max which is drawn once weekly. "Purchasing behaviors are changing - we can buy something with one click and a drone
delivers it to our house," said Stilin. "We should be able to deliver an instant game experience to anybody at any time of the day."

The last and most challenging barrier was that lottery just doesn't have the excitement that those under age 35 are looking for. "This demographic has grown up with a console or a tablet in their hand." During research sessions, it was clear that this age group doesn't see lottery products as games.

OLG first tackled the prize structure issue, finding inspiration in Texas with its All or Nothing game. "We fell in love with the prize structure," explained Stilin. Ontario gave the game a 60 percent payout, and added a free ticket as one prize, so its overall odds of winning any prize is 1 in 2.2 , the best odds ever for a draw game in the province. "We were looking for new players, and we wanted to make sure that they will feel wins when they start to play this game. Hopefully eventually they can move to a higher margin product."

Next to address was the idea of waiting for a draw. For a decade, OLG had been asking for permission to launch 5-minute keno in bars and restaurants, to no avail. For Hit or Miss, the strategy changed - not keno, and not focused on those venues. Finally, a five-minute game was approved.

So then was the tough part - providing a good gaming experience. "Thinking about our target audience, we wanted to go digital, to marry our retail game with a
digital platform," one that would enhance the unique prize structure. Working with its digital agency, OLG built an engaging and customized draw experience. Players could buy their ticket at retail (up to 30 draws at once), and have the choice of scanning the ticket right away to receive animated draw results right on their mobile device through the OLG app, which provides a dynamic experience based on the player's numbers.

OLG's agency BBDO created the awardwinning television ad for Hit or Miss, whose star is instantly recognized anywhere she goes. The initial results after launch showed that OLG hit all of its goals. The game launched with 12 weeks remaining in FY18, and delivered C $\$ 15.4$ million in sales in that time. "That is a big number for us, so we built a product that generates revenue." Most of those sales were incremental business, as cannibalization on other products was minimal. Finally, the vast majority of players go through the animation to reveal their results, showing that the experience is engaging and exciting.

On the ultimate goal of attracting new and younger players? OLG hit that target as well. Stilin noted that across all of the lottery's products, the current percentage of under 35 players is around 17 percent. That percentage for Hit or Miss is 32 percent. "I like this number more than I like the revenue number. It means we are building a sustainable business, building products that attract a new player."

## Trade Show Welcomes

 New Exhibitors




Mintz. The travel experiences offered, using name-brand providers without any license fees, are funded as part of an instant game's prize structure. Social media is utilized heavily as a marketing tool. "Millennials remember these experiences years down the road and continue to tie those memories to lottery," whereas cash prizes don't have that same emotional connection. "This is how you can build incremental sales with millennials."

Research America has done lottery work for some 30 years as a niche player in the market, but with more lotteries as clients these days, President Rex Repass wanted to come to the NASPL show. What makes the company different, he says, is extensive experience in the packaged goods industry. So perhaps it's not surprising that much of its projects have focused on product line extensions, something the packaged goods industry excels at but which has only just touched the lottery industry. "We've had some great conversations here," Repass said of his NASPL debut.

Terminal manufacturer iBase
Gaming has worked with the big industry vendors for some time, but is looking to expand and create direct customer relationships in the U.S. The company also builds lottery and gaming cabinets and digital signage. Another
company founded by engineers, "Our strength is in building solutions quickly," said Vice President of Special Projects Bill Distefano.

Persistence and understanding have paid off for Jackpocket; after its third year as an exhibitor, the courier services provider was accepted as a NASPL Associate Member for the first time. "We are continuing to build our presence in the industry and can provide added value to lotteries," said Chief Executive Officer Peter Sullivan. "More than ever this year we have been able to have conversations with lotteries, and we are really excited." At the time of the conference, Jackpocket was active in Minnesota and New Hampshire, and expected to be in other states soon. Last summer, a Minnesota college student became the first big winner using the Jackpocket service with a $\$ 1$ million Powerball win; in fact, 59 percent of Jackpocket players are millennials. Recently, the company received \$16 million in venture capital funding, and became the first third-party lottery service to receive iCAP certification by the National Council on Problem Gambling for its responsible gambling commitment in the mobile space.

EquiLottery is another young company that is taking big steps these days. With products creating a lottery
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## NASPL 2018 Award Winners

## Batchy Awards

Best TV Advertising - Instant Games
New York Lottery
Bodega Cat

Best TV Advertising - Daily Draw Games Ontario Lottery and Gaming
Hit or Miss - Missing Changed Me

Best TV Advertising - Lotto/Spiel Games New York Lottery
Small Town

Best TV Advertising - Corporate/Beneficiary Missouri Lottery
Play It Forward - Elementary

Best TV Advertising - Keno Games
Michigan Lottery
Falling Numbers

Best TV Advertising - Coordinated Campaign
New York Lottery
Take 5 - Go for the Win

Best Radio Advertising - Instant Games
Arkansas Scholarship Lottery
Heist Radio

Best Radio Advertising - Daily Draw Games
Arizona State Lottery
Mega Millions Upgrade

Best Radio Advertising - Lotto/Spiel Games California Lottery
SuperLotto Plus - May the Best Dream Win
Best Radio Advertising - Corporate/ Beneficiary
Missouri Lottery
Play It Forward - What If?
Best Radio Advertising - Keno Games
Massachusetts State Lottery
Add Excitement to Your Routine

Best Radio Advertising - Coordinated
Campaign
New York Lottery
Take 5-Go for the Win
Best Print Advertising - Retailer Signage/ Merchandising
New Mexico Lottery
Holiday Scratcher Tree
Best Print Advertising - Outdoor
Washington's Lottery
Sea-Tac

Best Print Advertising -
Color or Black \& White
Ontario Lottery and Gaming
Instant Crossword - When Words Connect

Best Print Advertising - Corporate/
Beneficiary
Missouri Lottery
Play It Forward - Graduation Cap
Best Print Advertising - Coordinated
Print Campaign
Missouri Lottery
Summer Thrills Promotion Print Campaign

Best Original Music for TV or Radio
Minnesota State Lottery
A Whole Lotto Holiday
Best Use of Humor - Radio
Washington's Lottery
Ms. Pac-Man Scratch

Best Use of Humor - TV
Ontario Lottery and Gaming
Hit or Miss - Missing Changed Me

Best Use of Cinematography
Ontario Lottery and Gaming
Lotto 6/49 - Joy for All

Best TV or Radio Spot Fitting No Other Category
New York Lottery
Get Your Quarters Back

Best Corporate/Beneficiary Video
Ontario Lottery and Gaming
PlaySmart - PlaySmart Brand Story

Best TV Campaign Under \$25,000
Idaho Lottery
Holiday Scratch -
Santa's Helper \& Santa's Sleigh

Best Corporate Communications -
Employee/Player Newsletter
Ontario Lottery and Gaming
Did You Know? - March 2018

Best Corporate Communications Retailer Newsletter

Kentucky Lottery Corporation
The Quarter Magazine
Best Responsible Gambling
Communications - Print
Wisconsin Lottery
Not So Super

Best Responsible Gambling
Communications - Video
Virginia Lottery
Responsible Gambling Retailer
Training Video

Best Responsible Gambling
Communications - Radio
South Carolina Education Lottery
In the Kitchen

Best Responsible Gambling
Communications - Electronic
Loto-Québec
A Close Look at Chance

Best Responsible Gambling
Communications - Coordinated Campaign
Oregon Lottery
Signs Campaign

Best New Media
Loto-Québec
Lotto 6/49-Perseids
Best Multicultural Advertising
Illinois Lottery
Give Me a Second Chance

## Hickey Awards

Best Special Events Promotion
Atlantic Lottery Corporation Holiday Dream Box

Best Audio/Visual Presentation
Minnesota State Lottery
I'MN Rally Video

Best Potpourri Promotion
New York Lottery
Cat Scratch Magic
Best Web Site
Ontario Lottery and Gaming
PlaySmart Website

## Buddy Roogow Innovation Awards

Best New Instant Game
D.C. Lottery

Neighborhoods
Best New Draw Game Ontario Lottery and Gaming Hit or Miss

Best of the Batch

Washington's Lottery
Sea-Tac

Holidauy Instaint Cataliog


## Arizona Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reindeer Series | Scientific Games | Find | \$1 | 3,120,000 | 1 in 4.36 | \$500 |
| Holiday Cash Drop | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | \$2 | 2,040,000 | 1 in 4.1 | \$10,000 |
| Christmas Crossword | Pollard Banknote | Crossword | \$3 | 1,920,000 | 1 in 3.59 | \$20,000 |
| Holiday "7" | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$5 | 1,920,000 | 1 in 3.82 | \$50,000 |
| Money Tree | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$10 | 1,440,000 | 1 in 3.17 | \$100,000 |
| \$500,000 Holiday Countdown | Pollard Banknote | Match 2 | \$20 | 1,200,000 | 1 in 2.82 | \$500,000 |

## Arkansas Scholarship Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| BETTY BOOP ${ }^{\text {¹ }}$ | Scientific Games | Tic-Tac-Toe | $\$ 1$ | 1.68 M | 1 in 4.70 | $\$ 3,000$ |



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Holly Jolly | Scientific Games | Word Game | $\$ 3$ | $1,000,000$ | 1 in 3.36 | $\$ 30,000$ |
| Holiday Cash | Pollard Banknote | Key Number <br> Match | $\$ 10$ | 400,000 | 1 in 3.08 | $\$ 250,000$ |
| Classic <br> Celebration | Scientific Games | Pack containing 7 <br> different games | $\$ 50$ | 300,000 | 1 in 2 | $\$ 350,000$ |



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Holly Jolly Cash | Pollard Banknote | Match 3 | $\$ 1$ | $1,500,000$ | 1 in 3.3 | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Gifts Galore | Pollard Banknote | Match 3 | $\$ 1$ | $1,500,000$ | 1 in 3.3 | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Santa Paws II | Pollard Banknote | Match 3 Symbols | $\$ 2$ | 800,000 | 1 in 3.3 | $\$ 20,000$ |
| Christmas Joy | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | $\$ 2$ | 800,000 | 1 in 3.3 | $\$ 20,000$ |
| Christmas List | Pollard Banknote | Letter Match <br> (crossword-like <br> game play) with <br> Multiplier | $\$ 3$ | 800,000 | 1 in 3.5 | $\$ 50,000$ |
| Snowglobe <br> Winnings | Pollard Banknote | Symbol Match | $\$ 3$ | 750,000 | 1 in 3.5 | $\$ 50,000$ |
| Santa 7s | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | $\$ 5$ | 750,000 | 1 in 3.3 | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Merry Money <br> Multiplier III | IGT | Match 3 Symbols | $\$ 5$ | 750,000 | 1 in 3.3 | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Holiday Cash <br> (National game) | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | $\$ 10$ | 500,000 | 1 in 3.08 | $\$ 250,000$ |
| Holiday Gift Pack | Pollard Banknote | 9 Games in 1 | $\$ 20$ | 375,000 |  | 1 in 2 |

## California Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| The Perfect Gift! | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | $\$ 1$ | $19,000,000$ | 1 in 4.67 |  |
| Winner <br> Wonderland | Scientific Games | Extended Play | $\$ 500$ |  |  |  |
| Holiday Sparkle | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match <br> \& Bonus | $\$ 3,000,000$ | 1 in 3.76 | $\$ 30,000$ |  |

## Colorado Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Reindeer Riche\$ | Scientific Games | Symbol Match | $\$ 1$ | $2,880,000$ | 1 in 4.1 | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Silver Bill\$ | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | $\$ 2$ | $1,080,000$ | 1 in 4.06 |  |
| Glowing Gift\$ | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | $\$ 10,000$ |  |  |  |
| Holiday Riche\$ | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | $\$ 20,000$ | 1 in 3.2 | $\$ 30,000$ |  |
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## Connecticut Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Holiday Ca\$h | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | $\$ 1$ | $1,046,600$ | 1 in 3.94 |  |
| Holiday Luck | IGT | Key Number Match | $\$ 500$ |  |  |  |
| Holiday Wishes | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | $\$ 5$ | $1,000,000$ | 1 in 4.07 | $\$ 5,000$ |

## DC Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jingle Bills | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | $\$ 1$ | 240,000 | 1 in 4.73 | $\$ 200$ |
| Holiday Double <br> Win | Scientific Games | Match Symbol | $\$ 2$ | 160,000 | 1 in 4.55 | $\$ 4,000$ |
| $\$ 25,000$ Holiday <br> Bonus | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | $\$ 5$ | 180,000 | 1 in 3.53 | $\$ 25,000$ |

## PuMB

Since 2005 Jumbo has been developing and operating an industry leading suite of digital sales and marketing platforms that help lottery operators take their digital initiatives to the next level.

Jumbo offers lottery operators a range of flexible partnership options to meet their jurisdictional and commercial needs in the digital space. Essential to the Jumbo way of business is a culture of continual innovation and striving to meet the needs of operators and players.
www.jumbointeractive.com partners@jumbointeractive.com

## Delaware Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Holiday Cash | Scientific Games | 3 of 6 | $\$ 1$ | 300,000 | 1 in 4.66 | $\$ 180,000$ |
| Season's <br> Greetings/ <br> Holiday Wishes | Scientific Games | Key Number Match <br> w/doubler | $\$ 500$ |  |  |  |
| Ten Elves | Scientific Games | Find | $\$ 1,000$ |  |  |  |
| Money Tree | Scientific Games | Key Number Match <br> w/auto win | $\$ 3.58$ |  |  |  |

## Florida Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$10,000 Holiday Bonus | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$1 | 22,800,000 | 1 in 4.88 | \$10,000 |
| $\$ 50,000 \text { Holiday }$ Bonus | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$2 | 23,760,000 | 1 in 4.41 | \$50,000 |
| \$1,000,000 Holiday Bonus | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$5 | 15,840,000 | 1 in 4.05 | \$1,000,000 |
| \$2,000,000 Holiday Bonus | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$10 | 8,640,000 | 1 in 3.39 | \$2,000,000 |
| \$5,000,000 Gold Rush Classic | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$20 | 10,560,000 | 1 in 2.99 | \$5,000,000 |

## Let's Go There.



Wherever you want to take your product, we have a solution to get you there. We test right the first time, saving you money. Plus, our quality gets you to market faster. And after testing, only GLI offers you the most markets for less money, with less risk. In fact, GLI is the only private lab whose certifications are accepted in every jurisdiction. Develop where you want and sell everywhere you want, quickly and cost-effectively.


Tested. Proven. Trusted.

## Georgia Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Golden Wishes | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | $\$ 1$ | $7,200,000$ | 1 in 4.44 | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Holiday Cash <br> Multiplier | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | $\$ 2$ | $6,000,000$ | 1 in 3.92 | $\$ 50,000$ |
| Holiday Gifts | Scientific Games | Extended Play | $\$ 3$ | $3,600,000$ | 1 in 3.85 | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Happy Holidays | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | $\$ 5$ | $4,800,000$ | 1 in 3.75 | $\$ 250,000$ |
| 50X The Money <br> Holiday Edition | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | $\$ 10$ | $4,800,000$ | 1 in 3.28 | $\$ 750,000$ |
| Millionaire Jingle <br> Jumbo Bucks | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | $\$ 20$ | $1,440,000$ | 1 in 2.98 | $\$ 1,500,000$ |

## Hoosier Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Stlye | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Festive $\$ 50 \mathrm{~s}$ | GGT | Key Number Match | $\$ 1$ | $7,200,000$ | 1 in 4.66 | $\$ 500$ |
| Holiday $\$ 100$ s | IGT | Key Number Match | $\$ 2$ | $3,360,000$ | 1 in 4.42 | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Candy Cane <br> Crossword Tripler | IGT | Crossword | $\$ 3$ | $2,880,000$ | 1 in 3.98 | $\$ 40,000$ |
| Silver \& Gold | Scientific Games | Key Number Match <br> /Scratch My Back | $\$ 5$ | $7,200,000$ | 1 in 3.91 | $\$ 150,000$ |



WinPlaceShowLotto.com
For more information, contact Brad Cummings at brad@winplaceshowlotto.com

# Idaho Lottery <br>  

| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rollin' In The <br> Dough | IGT | Key Symbol Match | $\$ 1$ | 840,000 | 1 in 3.68 | $\$ 1,000$ |
| Holiday Gold | IGT | Key Symbol Match | $\$ 2$ | 480,000 | 1 in 3.56 | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Jolly Holiday <br> Crossword | IGT | Crossword | $\$ 3$ | 660,000 | 1 in 3.41 | $\$ 30,000$ |
| Winter Green | IGT | Key Number Match | $\$ 5$ | 480,000 | 1 in 3.41 | $\$ 50,000$ |
| Jackpot Frost | IGT | Key Number Match | $\$ 20$ | 210,000 | 1 in 3.27 | $\$ 200,000$ |

## reinois Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Holiday Cash | Scientific Games | Match 3 | \$1 | 15,600,000 | 1 in 4.15 | \$200 |
| Frosty \$5,000 | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$1 | 7,680,000 | 1 in 4.45 | \$5,000 |
| Twelve Elves | Scientific Games | Count Up | \$2 | 4,320,000 | 1 in 3.86 | \$10,000 |
| Winter Green | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$2 | 4,800,000 | 1 in 3.93 | \$20,000 |
| Candy Cane Crossword | Scientific Games | Crossword | \$3 | 4,320,000 | 1 in 3.96 | \$500 |
| Holiday Magic | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$5 | 4,800,000 | 1 in 3.72 | \$100,000 |
| Merry Millionaire | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$20 | 2,040,000 | 1 in 2.91 | \$1,000,000 |

## LA FLEUR'S 2019 LotM, KT TRENDS

Washington, DC May $13=16,2019$


THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

## lowa Lottery


 Juolidaycism ००ठद्धेड़ड़



03801080 $2030^{23} 34$
 ".



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Fa-La-La | IGT | Find Symbol | $\$ 1$ | $1,760,400$ | 1 in 4.10 | $\$ 500$ |
| Happy Holly Days! | Scientific Games | Match 3 | $\$ 1$ | $1,758,900$ | 1 in 4.44 | $\$ 900$ |
| Gifts Galore | Pollard Banknote | Match 2 Symbols | $\$ 2$ | $1,337,550$ | 1 in 3.44 | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Jingle All The Way | IGT | Key Number Match | $\$ 2$ | $1,001,250$ | 1 in 3.44 | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Hipster Holiday <br> Bingo | Pollard Banknote | Bingo | $\$ 2$ | $1,480,950$ | 1 in 3.34 | $\$ 25,000$ |
| Merry Multiplier | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | $\$ 3$ | $1,132,100$ | 1 in 3.82 | $\$ 30,000$ |
| Holiday Bonus <br> Crossword | Pollard Banknote | Crossword | $\$ 3$ | $2,217,200$ | 1 in 3.55 | $\$ 30,000$ |
| Holiday Cash | Scientific Games | Linked Play ActionTM | $\$ 5$ | 987,420 | 1 in 3.82 | $\$ 50,000$ |
| \$50,000 Holiday <br> Super Crossword | Pollard Banknote | Crossword | $\$ 5$ | $1,843,020$ | 1 in 3.51 | $\$ 50,000$ |
| Treasure Tree |  | IGT | Key Number Match | $\$ 10$ | 854,790 | 1 in 2.97 |

## Don't leave draw integrity

## up to chance.



## Trusted Draw ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ and Trusted Audit ${ }^{\text {TM }}$

 attested by Bulletproof, a GLI company$\checkmark$ Proof of integrity for all draws
Real-time RNG hardware fault detection
Conclusive RNG fraud detection

## Kansas Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter Ca\$h Winnings | Pollard Banknote | Match 3 | \$1 | 1,500,000 | 1 in 3.16 | \$1,000 |
| Winter Ca\$h Jackpot | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | \$2 | 750,000 | 1 in 3.22 | \$10,000 |
| Winter Ca\$h Wishes | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | \$5 | 360,000 | 1 in 3.01 | \$25,000 |
| Winter Ca\$h Riches | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | \$10 | 250,020 | 1 in 2.54 | \$75,000 |

## Kentucky Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$5,000 Holiday Gold | Scientific Games | Key Number Match w/ doubler | \$1 | 5,280,000 | 1 in 4.88 | \$5,000 |
| \$10,000 Holiday Gold | Scientific Games | Key Number Match w/ auto-win \& doubler | \$2 | 3,120,000 | 1 in 3.94 | \$10,000 |
| \$50,000 Holiday Gold | Scientific Games | Key Number Match w/ auto-win, doubler \& win-all | \$5 | 3,000,000 | 1 in 3.52 | \$50,000 |
| \$150,000 Holiday Gold | Scientific Games | Key Number Match w/ auto-win, doubler, 5 x \& win-all | \$10 | 1,680,000 | 1 in 2.94 | \$150,000 |

## 4 Schafer

$30+$ years of custom lottery retail solutions.

## Loto-Québec



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cadeau surprise | Pollard Banknote | Match 3 Symbols | \$2 | 3,000,000 | 1 in 4.0 | 12 gifts |
| Mots cachés édition des fêtes | Scientific Games | Crossword | \$3 | 1,750,000 | 1 in 3.4 | \$25,000 |
| Étiquettes-cadeaux | Pollard Banknote | Match 3 Symbols | \$5 | 1,000,000 | 1 in 4.7 | \$1,000 |
| Calendrier de I'avent | Pollard Banknote | Pulltab - 3 different game plays | \$10 | 800,000 | 1 in 2.0 | \$10,000 |
| Richesses des fêtes | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | \$10 | 800.000 | 1 in 3.08 | \$250,000 |

## Louisiana Lottery



Get Ink pitues, win that pise. Getilike pites anda ${ }^{-x}$ -

Win up to


| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Holiday Red | Scientific Games | Match 3 with <br> Doubler | $\$ 1$ | $1,920,000$ | 1 in 4.85 | $\$ 4,000$ |
| Holiday Green | Scientific Games | Key Number Match <br> with Doubler | $\$ 2$ | 960,000 | 1 in 4.85 | $\$ 12,000$ |
| Holiday Gold | Scientific Games | Key Number Match <br> with auto win and <br> Doubler | $\$ 5$ | 720,000 | 1 in 4.85 | $\$ 100,000$ |

## Introducing the perfect integrated in-lane solution!

- No additional hardware needed
- No software required
- No gift cards or barcoded cards needed
- No retailer or consumer fees


Mobile tryptolmaging

## Bringing LOTIERY PLAY into the MOBILE world

## Maine State Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jingle Bucks | Scientific Games | Find Symbol | $\$ 1$ | $1,746,900$ | 1 in 4.50 |
| Cashing Thru the <br> Snow | Scientific Games | Symbol Reveal | $\$ 2$ | $1,000,050$ | 1 in 4.36 |
| The 12 Days of <br> Christmas | Scientific Games | Symbol Match, 5 K <br> Win. Win All | $\$ 5$ | $\$ 25,000$ |  |

## Maryland Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Holiday Pets | Pollard Banknote | Symbol Reveal | \$1 | 3,720,000 | 1 in 4:51 | \$1,000 |
| Polar Bear Bucks | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | \$2 | 2,520,000 | 1 in 4.00 | \$10,000 |
| Peppermint Payout | Pollard Banknote | Number match / Extended Play | \$3 | 1,560,000 | 1 in 4.07 | \$30,000 |
| Holiday Cash | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | \$5 | 3,120,000 | 1 in 3.79 | \$50,000 |
| \$100,000 Winter Winfall | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | \$10 | 2,040,000 | 1 in 3.36 | \$100,000 |

## worldpay

## World-class <br> payment processing <br> for lotteries



## Why Worldpay

Drive responsible growth for good causes

Deliver player convenience

Process safely and securely

Enable fast payouts

Support mobile, internet and retail channels
\#1 International payments acquirer

146 countries

326 Payment methods

1000 Transactions per second

Over $\$ 1.5$ Trillion processed

Andrew Crowe - andrew.crowe@worldpay.com

## Massachusetts Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1$ Holiday Cheer | Scientific Games | Key Number Match <br> + Auto Win | $\$ 1$ | $70,560,000$ | 1 in 4.83 | $\$ 10,000$ |
| $\$ 2$ Holiday Cheer | Scientific Games | Key Number Match <br> + Auto Win | $\$ 2$ | $12,096,000$ | 1 in 4.64 | $\$ 100,000$ |
| $\$ 5$ Holiday Cheer | Scientific Games | Key Number Match <br> + Auto Win | $\$ 5$ | $12,096,000$ | 1 in 4.15 | $\$ 1,000,000$ |
| Holiday Cash Blowout | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | $\$ 10$ | $15,120,000$ | 1 in 8.86 |  |

## Michigan Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Festive $\$ 50$ 's | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match, <br> Find Symbol | $\$ 1$ | $15,000,000$ | 1 in 4.6 | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Holiday Lucky <br> Times 12 | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match, <br> Multiplier Feature | $\$ 2$ | $9,600,000$ | 1 in 4.41 | $\$ 30,000$ |
| Peppermint <br> Payout | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match, <br> Find Symbol | $\$ 5$ | $4,500,000$ | 1 in 3.8 | $\$ 300,000$ |
| Holiday Dazzle | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match, <br> Find Symbol | $\$ 10$ | $3,000,000$ | 1 in 3.67 | $\$ 500,000$ |

## Draw More Excitement!

Move beyond static graphics and simple animations. The Origin ${ }^{\circ}$ Digital Drawing System generates a more exciting draw sequence with dynamic video and high-end graphics that will grab attention and attract new players.

# Origin Digital Drawing System <br> <br> GMARTPLAY <br> <br> GMARTPLAY <br> INTERNVATIDNAL, INL <br> WWW.SMARTPLAY.COM/ORIGIN | 609-880-1860 

## Minnesota State Lottery

81 * mansoratomar Naughty


| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Naughty or Nice | Pollard Banknote | Find w/doubler | $\$ 1$ | $3,840,000$ | 1 in 3.72 |
| Frosty Fun | Pollard Banknote | Find w/doubler | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 2,000$ |  |
| Peppermint Cash | Pollard Banknote | Extended Play | $\$ 3$ | $\$ 15,000$ |  |
| Cash Flurries | Pollard Banknote | Find w/Win All | $\$ 5$ | $\$ 2,640,000$ | 1 in 3.52 |

## Missouni Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mistle Dough | IGT | Key Number Match | \$1 | 9,120,000 | 1 in 4.74 | \$1,000 |
| Merry Money | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$2 | 4,800,000 | 1 in 4.45 | \$25,000 |
| Peppermint Payout | IGT | Extended Play Number Match | \$3 | 3,600,000 | 1 in 3.82 | \$50,000 |
| National Lampoon's Christmas Vacation ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$5 | 3,600,000 | 1 in 4.08 | \$100,000 |
| 25 Days of Winning | Scientific Games | Symbol Reveal | \$10 | 1,680,000 | 1 in 3.27 | \$250,000 |
| Money Tree | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match \& Symbol Reveal | \$20 | 840,000 | 1 in 3.17 | \$500,000 |



## We're happy to be here!

Congrats to all of NASPL's newest Associate Members.
See you in the dugout!
Јаскроскет

## Montana Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Holiday Cash | Scientific Games | Symbol Match | $\$ 1$ | 120,000 | 1 in 4.00 | $\$ 1,000$ |
| Frosty Fun | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | $\$ 1$ | 120,000 | 1 in 4.10 |  |
| Winter Ice Doubler | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | $\$ 2$ | 140,000 | 1 in 4.30 | $\$ 12,000$ |

## Nebraska Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Merry Money | IGT | Symbol Find | $\$ 1$ | 780,000 | 1 in 3.48 | $\$ 50$ |
| Naughty or Nice <br> Crossword | IGT | Crossword | $\$ 3$ | 360,000 | 1 in 3.51 | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Peppermint <br> Payout | IGT | Key Number Match | $\$ 5$ | 270,000 | 1 in 3.26 | $\$ 35,000$ |

# MILESTONE <br> E N T E R T A I N M E N T 

everybody wins

WORLD LEADER IN VIRTUAL PRIZES milestoneentertainment.com

## New Hampshire Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Holiday Cheer | Scientific Games | Key Symbol Match <br> $(3$ scenes) | $\$ 1$ | $1,506,150$ | 1 in 4.75 | $\$ 500$ |
| Holiday Bonus | Scientific Games | Key Number Match <br> w/Bonus Box | $\$ 2$ | $1,504,650$ | 1 in 4.44 | $\$ 20,000$ |
| Holiday Lucky X10 | Scientific Games | Key Number Match <br>  <br> Bonus Box | $\$ 5$ | $1,306,700$ | 1 in 4.15 | $\$ 100,000$ |

## New Jersey Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Holiday Loose <br> Change | Scientific Games | "Add up" Coins | $\$ 1$ | $5,040,000$ | 1 in 4.57 |  |
| Holiday Lucky <br> Times 10 | IGT | Key Number Match <br> W/ Multiplier | $\$ 500$ |  |  |  |
| Holiday Bucks | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | $7,200,000$ | 1 in 4.24 | $\$ 20,000$ |  |
| \$1,000,000 <br> Spectacular | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | $\$ 5$ | $\$ 10$ | $8,600,000$ |  |




## New Mexico Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Feliz Navidad! | Scientific Games | Match 3 with a tripler | $\$ 1$ | 720,000 | 1 in 4.76 | $\$ 3,000$ |
| Betty Boop | Scientific Games | Reveal Symbol with a <br> doubler | $\$ 2$ | 300,000 | 1 in 4.12 | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Holiday Cheer | Scientific Games | Linked Coordinates <br> (Linked Play Action) | $\$ 3$ | 360,000 | 1 in 3.93 | $\$ 25,000$ |
| Holiday Games | Scientific Games | Key Number Match with <br> a 5 Times Multiplier and a <br> Bonus Box | $\$ 5$ | 480,000 | 1 in 3.76 | $\$ 50,000$ |
| $\$ 100,000$ Holiday <br> Countdown | Scientific Games | Match Symbols with a <br> Bonus Box | $\$ 10$ | 240,000 | 1 in 3.52 | $\$ 100,000$ |

## New Vork Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Season's Greetings Gift Tags-10 Scenes | Scientific Games | Symbol Match | \$1 | 15,120,000 | 1 in 4.23 | \$500 |
| Money Tree Tripler | Scientific Games | Symbol Match | \$2 | 10,320,000 | 1 in 4.41 | \$25,000 |
| $\$ 500,000$ Holiday <br> Magic | Scientific Games | Number Find | \$5 | 7,920,000 | 1 in 3.99 | \$500,000 |
| Set For The Holidays | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$10 | 5,040,000 | 1 in 3.28 | \$1,000,000 |

THE SEASON $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{R}$ ENDS

A NEW VIRTUAL SPORTS LOTTERY GAME

WIRTUAR EOOTIBALZ

## North Carolina Education Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Happy Holidays | Scientific Games | Match 3 | Find | $\$ 1$ | $\$, 800,000$ | 1 in 4.76 |
| Tis the Season | Scientific Games | $\$ 2$ | $\$, 080,000$ | 1 in 4.6 |  |  |
| Holiday Cheer | Scientific Games | Extended Play | $\$ 25,000$ |  |  |  |
| Holiday Cash | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | $2,400,000$ | 1 in 3.99 | $\$ 75,000$ |  |
| Merry \& Bright | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | $\$ 5$ | $\$ 10$ | $2,360,000$ | 1 in 3.96 |

## Ohio Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Holiday Cash | Scientific Games | Match 3 | \$1 | 18,000,000 | 1 in 3.99 | \$500 |
| Snow Me the Money | Scientific Games | Match Symbols | \$1 | 8,000,000 | 1 in 4.73 | \$1,000 |
| Holiday Gifts | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$2 | 7,000,000 | 1 in 3.87 | \$20,000 |
| Reindeer Game | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$2 | 6,000,000 | 1 in 4.09 | \$20,000 |
| Naughty or Nice | Scientific Games | Extended Play | \$3 | 6,000,000 | 1 in 3.83 | \$50,000 |
| Holiday Lucky <br> Times 10 | Scientific Games | Key Number Match w/ Multiplier | \$5 | 6,000,000 | 1 in 3.64 | \$250,000 |
| Winter Ice Multiplier | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$5 | 6,000,000 | 1 in 4.13 | \$150,000 |
| Holiday Fun! | Scientific Games | 3 Separate Games | \$10 | 4,000,000 | 1 in 3.38 | \$500,000 |




## Merchandising solutions designed to grow instant ticket sales

Take-A-Ticket Inc. Since 1985

## $2 \pi$

TAKE-A-THCKET ZNC
FGTARMMSHIED ICOBS

130 NE Montgomery Street, Albany, OR 97321
800.253.4295 •Www.tatinc.com

Contact us: info@tatinc.com


## Oklahoma Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Reindeer Riches | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | $\$ 1$ | 960,000 | 1 in 4.00 | $\$ 4,000$ |
| Snow Me the <br> Money | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | $\$ 2$ | 840,000 | 1 in 3.30 | $\$ 15,000$ |

## OLG



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Warm Winter <br> Winnings | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | $\$ 2$ | $2,000,000$ | 1 in 3.73 | $\$ 35,000$ |
| Reindeer Games | Scientific Games | Casino Games | $\$ 3$ | $3,080,000$ | 1 in 3.51 | $\$ 75,000$ |
| Jack Frost | Scientific Games | Blackjack | $\$ 5$ | $2,800,000$ | 1 in 3.41 | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Holiday Cash | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | $\$ 10$ | $1,500,000$ | 1 in 3.08 | $\$ 250,000$ |
| Holiday Gift Pack | Pollard Banknote | Pouch of games <br> including Crossword <br> and Bingo | $\$ 10$ | $2,100,000$ | 1 in 3.16 | $\$ 50,000$ |
| 24 Days to <br> Celebrate | Pollard Banknote | Calendar, Match 3 <br> and Symbols | $\$ 20$ | $1,600,000$ | 1 in 2.99 | $\$ 250,000$ |

## Onegon Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stocking Stuffer | Pollard Banknote | Match 3 | \$1 | 2,160,000 | 1 in 3.49 | 1,000 |
| Snow Globe Cash | IGT | Symbol Match | \$2 | 1,080,000 | 1 in 3.45 | 10,000 |
| Holiday Cheer | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$5 | 549,000 | 1 in 3.56 | 50,000 |
| Holiday Wishes | IGT | Key Number Match | \$10 | 230,400 | 1 in 3.37 | 100,000 |
| Winter Ca\$h | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | \$20 | 116,000 | 1 in 3.03 | $\begin{array}{r} 25,000 \text { a year } \\ \text { for } 10 \text { years / } \\ 250,000 \end{array}$ |

## Pennsylavania Lottery

| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reindeer Games | Scientific Games | Match 3, 3X Multiplier | \$1 | 16,800,000 | 1 in 4.67 | \$5,000 |
| Frosty the Doughman | Scientific Games | Find, Auto Win, \$20 Instant Win, Win All | \$2 | 10,800,000 | 1 in 4.65 | \$20,000 |
| Sleigh Bell\$ | Scientific Games | Find, 2X Multiplier, Win All, \$10/\$50 Bonus | \$3 | 8,400,000 | 1 in 4.07 | \$50,000 |
| Cash Kringle | Scientific Games | Key Number Match, | \$5 | 12,600,000 | 1 in 4.28 | \$100,000 |
| Money Tree | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$10 | 6,600,000 | 1 in 3.56 | \$300,000 |
| Merry Millionaire | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$20 | 5,400,000 | 1 in 3.39 | \$1,000,000 |

## Puerto Rico Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lechon | Scientific Games | Symbol Match | $\$ 1$ | $2,160,000$ | 1 in 4.46 | $\$ 1,000$ |
| Reyes Mago (Wise <br> Men) | Scientific Games | Symbol Match | $\$ 2$ | 960,000 | 1 in 4.49 | $\$ 10,000$ |

## Rhode Island Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2019 | IGT | Key Number Match | $\$ 1$ | $1,000,000$ | 1 in 4.77 |
| Winter Wishes | IGT | Key Number Match | $\$ 2$ | 840,000 | 11,000 |
| Winter Green <br> Multipler | IGT | Key Number Match | $\$ 5$ | 540,000 | 10,000 |
| Winter Cash Blast |  |  |  | 1 in 3.68 |  |

## South Carolina

 Education Lottery

| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Holiday Cheer | Scientific Games | Tic Tac Toe | $\$ 1$ | $1,9200,00$ | 1 in 4.55 | $\$ 5,000$ |
| Holiday <br> Spectacular | Scientific Games | Key Number Match + <br> Find Symbol | $\$ 2$ | $1,920,000$ | 1 in 4.19 | $\$ 20,000$ |
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## South Dakota Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Snow Me The Money | Scientific Games | Match 2 | \$1 | 600,000 | 1 in 4.87 | \$5,000 |
| Cashing Thru The Snow | Scientific Games | Find Symbol | \$2 | 360,000 | 1 in 4.23 | \$10,000 |
| Naughty Or Nice | Scientific Games | Cashword | \$3 | 360,000 | 1 in 4.23 | \$30,000 |
| Holiday 7 | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$5 | 180,000 | 1 in 3.78 | \$57,777 |

## Tennessee Education Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Holiday Sparkle | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$1 | 3,600,000 | 1 in 4.69 | \$500 |
| 15th Anniversary Cash | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$1 | 4,080,000 | 1 in 4.88 | \$1,500 |
| \$20,000 Holiday Gifts | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$2 | 2,520,000 | 1 in 4.5 | \$20,000 |
| 15th Anniversary Riches | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$2 | 3,600,000 | 1 in 4.33 | \$15,000 |
| Winter Jumbo Bucks Seasons | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$3 | 1,440,000 | 1 in 3.65 | \$75,000 |
| 7 Series [Holiday] | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$5 | 1,680,000 | 1 in 4.02 | \$100,000 |
| Neon | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$5 | 2,160,000 | 1 in 4.02 | \$200,000 |
| Silver Bells | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$10 | 840,000 | 1 in 3.32 | \$200,000 |
| Jumbo Bucks 300X | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | \$30 | 5,040,000 | 1 in 2.86 | \$4,000,000 |

## Texas Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Winter Cash | Pollard Banknote | Match 3 | $\$ 1$ | $9,000,000$ | 1 in 4.48 |
| Holiday Cash Drop | Pollard Banknote | Key Symbol Match | $\$ 2$ | $7,200,000$ | 1 in 4.55 |
| Holiday Word Blast | Pollard Banknote | Crossword | $\$ 300$ |  |  |
| Holiday Greetings | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | $\$ 30,000$ |  |  |
| Season's Greetings | Pollard Banknote | Multiple Games | $\$ 5$ | $\$ 10$ | $7,200,000$ |

## Vermont Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bee Merry | Pollard Banknote | Key number match | \$1 | 550,000 | 1 in 4.07 | \$500 |
| Holiday Fun | Pollard Banknote | Legend | \$2 | 360,000 | 1 in 4.24 | \$5,000 |
| Oh What Fun Cashword | Pollard Banknote | Crossword | \$3 | 540,000 | 1 in 3.85 | \$10,000 |
| Holiday Tree | Pollard Banknote | Key number match | \$5 | 270,000 | 1 in 4.07 | \$10,000 |
| Happy Holidays | Pollard Banknote | Key number match | \$5 | 270,000 | 1 in 4.08 | \$10,000 |
| Winter Ca\$h | Pollard Banknote | Key number match | \$10 | 245,000 | 1 in 3.56 | \$20,000 |

## Virginia Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Holiday Sparkle | Pollard Banknote | Reveal | $\$ 1$ | $6,000,000$ | 1 in 4.49 |
| Gingerbread Doubler | Pollard Banknote | Match and Reveal | $\$ 2$ | $3,840,000$ | 1,000 |
| Winter Luck | Pollard Banknote | Match and Reveal | $\$ 5$ | $4,080,000$ | $\mathbf{i n} 4.51$ |
| $\$ 10,000$ Bonus | Scientific Games | Match and Reveal | $\$ 10$ | 1 in 3.84 | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Win Either $\$ 100$ or <br> $\$ 200$ | Scientific Games | Match and Reveal | $\$ 20$ | $2,880,000$ | 1 in 3.44 |

## Washington's Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Tis the Season | Scientific Games | Match 3 | $\$ 1$ | $1,800,000$ | 1 in 3.77 | $\$ 1,000$ |
| Gifts Galore | Scientific Games | Key Number Match | $\$ 5$ | $1,320,000$ | 1 in 2.7 | $\$ 50,000$ |
| Holiday <br> Countdown | Scientific Games | Match 2 | $\$ 10$ | $1,080,100$ | $1 \mathrm{in} \mathrm{3.02}$ | $\$ 200,000$ |

## WCLC



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Christmas Cash | Pollard Banknote | Match 3 | \$1 | 1,000,000 | 1 in 3.67 | \$10,000 |
| Season's Greetings | Pollard Banknote | Match 3 | \$1 | 1,000,000 | 1 in 4.05 | \$10,000 |
| Cash Flurries | Pollard Banknote | Number Match | \$2 | 800,000 | 1 in 3.8 | \$25,000 |
| Holly Jolly Doubler | Pollard Banknote | Symbol Match | \$2 | 1,200,000 | 1 in 3.54 | \$25,000 |
| Gifts Of Gold | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | \$3 | 800,000 | 1 in 2.99 | \$50,000 |
| Christmas Crossword | Pollard Banknote | Crossword | \$3 | 1,500,000 | 1 in 3.89 | \$50,000 |
| Christmas For Life | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | \$4 | 801,000 | 1 in 3.31 | \$75,000 |
| Lucky Lines Multiplier | Pollard Banknote | Symbol Match | \$4 | 720,000 | 1 in 3 | \$75,000 |
| Christmas Fun Pack | Pollard Banknote | Number/Symbol Match | \$5 | 750,000 | 1 in 3.45 | \$100,000 |
| Holiday Green | Pollard Banknote | Key Symbol Match | \$5 | 750,000 | 1 in 3.44 | \$100,000 |
| \$50s And \$100s <br> Christmas Edition | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | \$10 | 600,000 | 1 in 5.8 | \$100 |
| Holiday Cash | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | \$10 | 600,000 | 1 in 3.08 | \$250,000 |
| Player's Choice Mega Pack | Pollard Banknote | Variety Pack | \$20 | 750,000 | 1 in 1 | \$1,000,000 |
| 24 Days To Celebrate | Pollard Banknote | Symbol Match | \$30 | 600,000 | 1 in 2.94 | \$300,000 |

## West Virginia Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scaredy Cash | IGT | Key Number Match | \$1 | 1,320,000 | 1 in 4.98 | \$1,000 |
| Santa Paws | IGT | Key Number Match | \$1 | 1,320,000 | 1 in 4.98 | \$1,000 |
| Cashing Through The Snow | IGT | Key Number Match | \$2 | 1,080,000 | 1 in 4.37 | \$2,000 |
| Dia De Los Muertos | IGT | Key Number Match | \$2 | 1,080,000 | 1 in 4.37 | \$2,000 |
| Red \& Green Machine | IGT | Symbol Match | \$5 | 240,000 | 1 in 4.97 | \$5,000 |

## Wisconsin Lottery



| Game Name | Vendor | Play Style | Price Point | Quantity Ordered | Odds of Winning | Top Prize |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winter Time Winnings | Pollard Banknote | Find the Symbol | \$1 | 1,200,000 | 1 in 4.2 | \$100 |
| Naughty or Nice Crossword | Pollard Banknote | Crossword | \$3 | 1,200,000 | 1 in 3.5 | \$30,000 |
| Jolly \$500's | Pollard Banknote | Key Number Match | \$5 | 960,000 | 1 in 4.2 | \$500 |
| Joy | Scientific Games | Find the Symbol | \$10 | 360,000 | 1 in 3.7 | \$50,000 |
| Holiday Countdown | Pollard Banknote | Match 3 | \$15 | 360,000 | 1 in 2.9 | \$100,000 |



A$s$ their books were closed for the year, many lotteries surged to all-time highs in fiscal 2018, proof that a billion dollar jackpot isn't the only thing that drives sales. Sure, it's easier to look good when you are coming off what was for many lotteries a down year in fiscal 2017, but the fact is that most lotteries gained enough to surpass even their results from that recordsetting fiscal 2016.

A record total of $\$ 77.7$ billion in sales of traditional lottery products was generated in fiscal 2018 by the 45 American lotteries covered in this report, up 6.6 percent over the previous year. With net revenues from casino gaming activities operated by some lotteries added in, total sales were almost $\$ 85.6$ billion, a increase of 6.4 percent.

Those sales translated to record revenues to beneficiaries - education, economic development, the environment, cities and towns, senior citizens and more. U.S. Iotteries transferred a total of $\$ 23.4$ billion to those beneficiaries in fiscal 2018, up five percent from the year before.

In Canada, traditional lottery sales were C $\$ 8.8$ billion, up 1.5 percent from fiscal 2017. Add in net revenues from the VLTs offered by two lottery operators and total sales were up 1.4 percent to about C\$10.2 billion. Canadian lotteries delivered C\$3.3 billion to their beneficiaries, up 1.1 percent from the previous year.

As always we advise that due to differences in accounting methodologies and other factors, the amounts transferred don't always move in lock step with sales or even with the net income that a lottery generates in a given year, so year-to-year comparisons in transfers are often less meaningful and may be misleading.

Canada's lotteries end their year on March 31, while in the United States most years end June 30; the exceptions are New York (March 31), Texas (August 31), and Michigan and the District of Columbia (September 30). The year end can impact how these lotteries compare to their peers if the timing of a big jackpot pushes sales into different years.

## American Leaders

Leading the way in terms of total sales, and return to beneficiaries, is perennial leader New York Lottery, with more than $\$ 7.9$ billion in sales of traditional games, plus just over $\$ 2$ billion in net revenues from electronic gaming machines. In total, it finished the year only a few million shy of the $\$ 10$ billion mark, up 3.1 percent from the previous year. Almost \$3.4

# "JACKPOTS COME AND GO, BUT INSTANT <br> GAMES ARE WHAT REALLY DRIVE CONSISTENCY IN OUR BUSINESS..." 

## - Gregg Edgar

billion was sent to education in the state.
New York holds onto that lead despite two challengers which have been growing rapidly in recent years; both California and Florida are closing in on the $\$ 7$ billion mark in sales.

The California Lottery led the ranks of billion-plus lotteries in terms of percentage increase in sales, posting an 11.7 percent gain to surpass $\$ 6.96$ billion. Florida's 8.8 percent growth kept it third at $\$ 6.7$ billion. In terms of return to education the beneficiaries in both states - Florida's $\$ 1.758$ billion edged California's $\$ 1.698$ billion; both were impressive numbers compared to previous year returns.

Two other lotteries exceeded $\$ 5$ billion in sales. Texas surged past Massachusetts to become the fourth largest American lottery with more than $\$ 5.6$ billion in sales, a 10.8 percent increase (a rate putting it second behind California among billion-plus lotteries). Massachusetts checked in with almost $\$ 5.3$ billion in sales, up 3.8 percent.

Gains in traditional game sales were reported across the board, with just about every lottery posting a sales increase; the vast majority also reported increased revenues to their beneficiaries. Far and away the leading lottery in terms of percentage increase in sales was the Oklahoma Lottery, with a staggering 46 percent increase. There's a reason for that, as described later in this report.

Thirteen other lotteries reported double-digit increases in traditional sales, a remarkable result even considering that fiscal 2017 was that "down" year. Far behind Oklahoma in terms of percentage increase in sales, but still very impressive, was South Dakota, with an 18.5 percent increase in traditional sales.

South Dakota's growth was a result of a number of things. Player excitement was high due to good jackpot rolls in the national games, publicity around the debut of Lotto America and a record jackpot in the state's own lotto game, Dakota Cash. Also contributing was a record year in instant ticket sales (up 15.8 percent), thanks in part to insights learned from player research.
"We also attribute our great year to the hard work of our sales staff, along with the valuable partnerships we have with our retailers," said Public Affairs Manager Wade LaRoche. "Together, they collaborated on new dispenser and POS placements that caught the attention of our players."

Behind South Dakota came Arizona with 15.2 percent growth. Another with strong instant ticket sales (up 12.3 percent), Arizona also reported the highest growth of any lottery's local lotto category, with sales of The Pick up almost 28 percent thanks to a record jackpot during the year. The Lottery also had a very successful launch of Fast Play games, further contributing to the great year.
"Jackpots come and go, but instant games are what really drive consistency in our business, so we placed a tremendous amount of focus on what we could control," explained Executive Director Gregg Edgar. The resulting strength in its instant ticket business comes from a number of initiatives - such as expanding the lineup of $\$ 10$ core and licensed games, introducing a $\$ 20$ game, utilizing creative talents in its Dia de los Muertos and Lucha Libre Loot tickets, which spoke to the Hispanic heritage and soul of Arizona, and developing a licensed ticket with legendary rocker Alice Cooper, who has deep roots in the state.

While instant tickets played a strong part in overall growth, "There is no silver bullet here," said Edgar. "It's a combination of many things - attracting fringe players and catering to core players - that have made the Arizona Lottery the third-fastest growing lottery in the country. We also drove these increases with innovative and unconventional marketing approaches. We implemented targeted promotional and experiential events, generous second chance prizes (we gave away $\$ 2$ million in second chance prizes last year alone), and expanded our reach through investments in digital and retail ad environments, and through our aggressive earned media program, worth \$2.3 million in fiscal 2018 and already worth $\$ 4.3$ million in fiscal 2019."

## United States Sales Summary <br> (Dollars in millions)

|  | FY17 | FY18 | Increase (Decrease) | Percent Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Instants | \$46,482.1 | \$49,391.5 | \$2,909.5 | 6.3\% |
| Pulltabs | 209.5 | 193.1 | (16.4) | -7.8\% |
| Powerball | 4,593.1 | 5,034.9 | 441.8 | 9.6\% |
| Mega Millions | 2,325.2 | 3,242.3 | 917.2 | 39.4\% |
| Lotto America/Hot Lotto | 73.4 | 79.7 | 6.2 | 8.5\% |
| For Life games * | 446.6 | 475.0 | 28.4 | 6.4\% |
| All other lotto games | 3,565.2 | 3,519.8 | (45.3) | -1.3\% |
| Daily numbers (2-5 digits) | 10,384.7 | 10,525.8 | 141.1 | 1.4\% |
| Monitor games | 4,131.2 | 4,389.7 | 258.5 | 6.3\% |
| Daily keno | 62.7 | 65.4 | 2.7 | 4.3\% |
| Terminal-based instant games | 411.1 | 551.4 | 140.3 | 34.1\% |
| Raffles | 68.6 | 63.0 | (5.6) | -8.2\% |
| Hybrid instant/draw games | 56.8 | 40.3 | (16.5) | -29.0\% |
| All other games | 163.2 | 182.5 | 19.2 | 11.8\% |
| Total draw games | 26,281.9 | 28,169.8 | 1,887.8 | 7.2\% |
| Total traditional games | 72,973.4 | 77,754.4 | 4,780.9 | 6.6\% |
| Electronic gaming machines (net) | 6,646.1 | 6,937.8 | 291.7 | 4.4\% |
| Table games (net) | 768.7 | 869.7 | 101.0 | 13.1\% |
| Grand total sales | \$80,388.3 | \$85,561.9 | \$5,173.6 | 6.4\% |

* Includes Lucky for Life, Cash4Life and Win for Life

Rounding out the list of lotteries with double-digit growth were Wyoming (+13.5 percent), North Dakota (+13.4 percent), California (+11.7 percent), Arkansas (+11.3 percent), New Hampshire (+11.2 percent), Oregon (+10.9 percent), Texas (+10.8 percent), Wisconsin (+10.7 percent), Maine (+10.6 percent), Idaho (+10.5 percent) and Colorado (+10.2 percent).

Some of the smaller lotteries on this list - and the two without instant tickets (North Dakota and Wyoming) - benefitted the most from the changes to Mega Millions and a few jackpot rolls in both that game and Powerball. And because those games return a healthy profit percentage, these lotteries also saw big increases in their revenue to beneficiaries. The Wyoming Lottery, the nation's smallest, actually returned 85 percent more in proceeds to its beneficiaries than the year before - by far
the leader by this measure. It accomplished that feat in part because of its 13.5 percent increase in sales, but also due to a reduction in various expense categories. As a fairly recent startup, some of the initial expenses and extra spending on advertising and promotions have wound down.

## The Games

America's two big national jackpot games continue to create drama in the industry. Mega Millions reaped the benefit of its price and matrix change in October 2017, producing bigger jackpots and increased sales for every lottery, translating into extra revenue for beneficiaries. Game sales across the country were $\$ 3.2$ billion, an increase of more than 39 percent. Powerball checked in at $\$ 5.0$ billion, up 9.6 percent
but still a far cry from the nearly $\$ 6.6$ billion in sales back in the billion-dollar year of fiscal 2016. A new rallying cry for these games became "dueling jackpots" when they rolled together, an idea that attracted additional interest by mainstream media.

Fast Play type games surged in fiscal 2018, jumping 34 percent thanks to their introduction in Arizona, Florida and Maine and the first full year of sales in big states like Michigan and Pennsylvania. In total, these terminal-based instant games brought in more than $\$ 551$ million in sales during the year.

Keno had its best year ever even as sales slumped slightly in some mature jurisdictions, thanks to several lotteries adding some form of the fast-draw game during the year, including New Hampshire, New Jersey, North Carolina, Pennsylvania and Tennessee. Sales of all monitor games

## Recent Trends in Sales - United States <br> (Dollars in millions)

|  | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | FY18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Instants/pulltabs | \$39,224.2 | \$42,171.3 | \$45,396.9 | \$46,691.5 | \$49,584.6 |
| Monitor games | 3,484.7 | 3,660.1 | 3,958.8 | 4,131.2 | 4,389.7 |
| Lotto and all other games | 22,269.6 | 21,307.4 | 24,124.7 | 22,150.7 | 23,780.1 |
| Total traditional games | 64,978.5 | 67,138.8 | 73,480.4 | 72,973.4 | 77,754.4 |
| Gaming (net) | 6,150.0 | 6,731.8 | 7,064.6 | 7,414.8 | 7,807.5 |
| Total sales | \$71,128.5 | \$73,870.6 | \$80,545.0 | \$80,388.3 | \$85,561.9 |

reached almost $\$ 4.4$ billion, up 6.3 percent.
The 'for life' category of lotto games grew by 6.4 percent (to $\$ 475$ million) due primarily to a full year of sales in Florida (now the second-largest state in that game category behind New York), Kansas and South Dakota; same-lottery sales were down in many cases.

The new Lotto America multi-state game replaced Hot Lotto during fiscal 2018, and sales for the two games combined were 8.5 percent higher than previous year sales for Hot Lotto.

All other lotto games, mostly in-state games, slipped just 1.3 percent, and as usual there were bright spots. Cash lotto games (defined as any lotto-style game with an advertised cash jackpot, not an annuity) actually recorded a 3.5 percent increase in sales during the year, from $\$ 2.12$ billion to $\$ 2.19$ billion. Twenty-seven of the 42 lotteries with these kinds of games grew sales; 12 of those saw double-digit gains. The largest in the category is Florida, whose sales of two games with their add-on option (EZ Match) reached almost $\$ 339$ million, up 23 percent.

Daily numbers games continue to be the second largest game category after instant tickets; sales of two through five digit games inched forward in fiscal 2018, with a 1.4 percent gain to more than $\$ 10.5$ billion.

Instant tickets followed up their modest year in fiscal 2017 with much stronger growth in fiscal 2018, recording a very good 6.3 percent gain. At $\$ 49.4$ billion, instant tickets accounted for 63.5 percent of traditional lottery sales, down slightly from 63.7 percent the previous year.

Eight lotteries saw double-digit gains in instant ticket sales. In the stratosphere was Oklahoma's nearly 88 percent increase due to legislative relief; more on that below. Leading the rest was South Dakota,
which as noted earlier achieved instant ticket growth of 15.8 percent. Next was Michigan with 12.6 percent growth; that state continues to impress, reporting strong sales at retail in addition to a healthy online sales channel. Others with impressive instant sales were Arizona and Texas, each up 12.3 percent, followed by California (up 11 percent), Maryland (up 10.9 percent) and Arkansas (up 10.6 percent).

California continues to sell more instant tickets than any other American lottery, becoming the first to surpass $\$ 5$ billion in instant sales. Right behind was Florida with more than $\$ 4.6$ billion. Texas, with its strong performance, checked in third with $\$ 4.4$ billion, jumping past New York in that category.

## Окlahoma Success

The Oklahoma Lottery's spectacular performance was long overdue, ending years of frustration and sagging sales due to a legislated profit mandate of 35
percent. That meant the Lottery couldn't follow industry best practices with instant products and its prize payouts were among the lowest in the country.

The results prove what the industry has known for years - lower payouts mean lower sales, resulting in lower profits; higher payouts trigger higher sales, which more than compensate for lower percentage returns with greater absolute dollars. Oklahoma's instant ticket sales increased by almost 88 percent compared to fiscal 2017, total sales were up 46 percent and the total return to beneficiaries went from $\$ 53.8$ million to just under $\$ 64$ million, an increase of more than $\$ 10.1$ million (or 19 percent). Except for the Powerball anomaly in fiscal 2016, where every lottery's beneficiaries hit the jackpot, so to speak, sales and profits in Oklahoma had been on their way down.

Just how it all happened on the sales and marketing side has been documented in these pages before - see the 2018 and 2017 July/August issues of Insights for complete details. But suffice it to say that the entire instant ticket product line was

# "WE ARE HONORED TO ACHIEVE THE HIGHEST PER CENTAGE SALES INCREASE FOR FISCAL 2018." 

- Rollo ReDburn


## Canada Sales Summary <br> (Canadian dollars in millions)

|  | FY17 | FY18 | Increase (Decrease) | Percent Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Instants | \$2,335.4 | \$2,605.3 | \$269.8 | 11.6\% |
| Pulltabs | 162.8 | 154.4 | (8.5) | -5.2\% |
| Lotto 6/49 | 1,430.8 | 1,451.9 | 21.0 | 1.5\% |
| Lotto Max | 1,927.0 | 1,632.3 | (294.7) | -15.3\% |
| For Life games | 107.4 | 203.1 | 95.7 | 89.1\% |
| All other lotto games | 397.1 | 391.5 | (5.5) | -1.4\% |
| Daily numbers (2-4 digits) | 219.0 | 228.9 | 9.9 | 4.5\% |
| Spiel games | 627.1 | 621.0 | (6.1) | -1.0\% |
| Sports betting | 560.4 | 562.0 | 1.6 | 0.3\% |
| Club Keno | 293.3 | 312.7 | 19.3 | 6.6\% |
| Daily keno | 261.1 | 262.7 | 1.6 | 0.6\% |
| Hybrid instant/draw games | 179.5 | 207.7 | 28.2 | 15.7\% |
| All other games | 199.7 | 195.1 | (4.6) | -2.3\% |
| Total draw games | 6,202.4 | 6,068.8 | (\$133.6) | -2.2\% |
| Total traditional games | 8,700.7 | 8,828.4 | 127.8 | 1.5\% |
| Electronic gaming machines (net) | 1,391.6 | 1,410.0 | 18.4 | 1.3\% |
| Table games (net) | 1.9 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 6.2\% |
| Grand total sales | \$10,094.2 | \$10,240.5 | \$146.3 | 1.4\% |

reintroduced at one time with higher payouts at all price points, and new price points were also added. "We broke a few rules in our approach, and so far it has worked out well," said Executive Director Rollo Redburn. "We are honored to achieve the highest percentage sales increase for fiscal 2018."

Lottery officials had tried for years to get the law changed, and while their efforts fell on deaf ears, they learned in the process. "More effective resources had to be applied to our efforts to make sure that the lawmakers were all properly informed as to the impact of the change and the impact of no change. This included using younger, energetic and intelligent Lottery staff to assist; getting outside consulting help on how to go forward with our efforts and on who to approach and when; and getting professional help to keep all the lawmakers informed and identify, and quickly address, possible problems as they arise." Exacerbating the problem was the typical
turnover of policymakers - relationships along the way had to be developed, redeveloped and nurtured.

Redburn cited one key aspect to finally getting the law changed - identifying a specific education-related need and allocating extra profits to that program. By proposing a modified law that protected existing revenue streams for the four original education beneficiaries while creating a new funding program for reading intervention programs and STEM (Science-Technology-Engineering-Math) programs, it became "an added inducement that helped to get the law changed." He noted that because of the outstanding results in fiscal 2018, the 2019 legislature will appropriate approximately $\$ 13$ million to these new programs.

Part of the difficulty in getting the legislative change was that many lawmakers do not support gambling, despite billions of dollars flowing through Oklahoma's tribal casinos. Redburn's
attempts to discuss lottery issues of any kind - even just updates and information - often failed. But with the Lottery's consumer and financial success in fiscal 2018, the tide has turned. "After years of work using the added resources I mentioned, we have now actually received calls and been asked questions such as: 'How can I help you; do you need a bill author?' and 'What law changes are needed to keep the momentum going?'"

That important change in the conversation from 'I don't support the Lottery' to 'So, how much more can the Lottery do?' can't be emphasized enough. Redburn cited three more bills that the Legislature passed in 2018 to further help the Lottery improve sales and profits, including one authorizing the use of debit cards for lottery purchases and one allowing online entry for second chance drawings.
"We are going to ride this wave of success as long as we can and continue to

## Recent Trends in Sales - Canada

(Canadian dollars in millions)

|  | FY14 | FY15 | FY16 | FY17 | FY18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Instants/pulltabs | \$2,408.7 | \$2,351.7 | \$2,420.7 | \$2,498.3 | \$2,759.6 |
| Club Keno | 242.7 | 252.3 | 274.4 | 293.3 | 312.7 |
| Sports betting | 523.3 | 544.2 | 544.3 | 560.4 | 562.0 |
| Lotto and all other games | 5,026.9 | 4,660.7 | 5,740.6 | 5,348.7 | 5,194.2 |
| Total traditional games | 8,201.6 | 7,808.9 | 8,980.0 | 8,700.7 | 8,828.4 |
| Gaming (net) | 1,327.7 | 1,319.7 | 1,383.4 | 1,393.5 | 1,412.0 |
| Total sales | \$9,529.3 | \$9,128.6 | \$10,363.4 | \$10,094.2 | \$10,240.5 |

improve relationships with lawmakers and other stakeholders in order to fulfill our mission of providing funding for Oklahoma education programs."

## The Canadian EXPERIENCE

Canada's lotteries also rebounded in fiscal 2018 following a tough fiscal 2017, but not quite to the same extent as their southern neighbors. Their national game sales that drove new heights in fiscal 2016 was a harder act to follow than the similar experience in the U.S., because Lotto Max and Lotto 6/49 account for more than a third of Canada's traditional game sales compared to about 10 percent of American sales coming from Powerball and Mega Millions.

The country's two largest lotteries, Ontario Lottery and Gaming (OLG) and Loto-Québec, both saw nearly three percent gains in traditional sales. In both provinces, gains were driven by strong instant sales (up more than 13 percent in each), increases in the national Lotto 6/49 and a full year of Daily Grand, also available across the country.

The Atlantic Lottery's instant product actually led the nation in percentage gains, with sales up 14.5 percent across its four member provinces. The record sales in instant games were due in part to brand extensions into higher price points, including a \$30 Crossword and a $\$ 20$ Super Set for Life, along with higher price points in the national instant games as well.

OLG launched its first-ever four-minute game, Hit or Miss, near the end of the fiscal
year. In just 12 weeks, the game produced C $\$ 15.4$ million in sales, big numbers for Ontario, and 132 percent over budget. For more about Hit or Miss, which won NASPL's Buddy Roogow Innovation Award for Best New Draw Game, see page 45.

The national game Lotto Max sagged again, with a 15 percent drop in sales following a nearly 12 percent decline in fiscal 2017; the game had soared in fiscal 2016. Upcoming changes to the game will launch next spring in the hopes of reversing that trend.

Daily Grand expanded to all provincial lotteries in fiscal 2018; total sales in the 'for life' category game reached \$203 million during the year. First launched in October 2016, the middle of Canada's fiscal 2017, the C $\$ 3$ Daily Grand was the country's first new national draw game since Lotto

Max debuted in 2009. The game is drawn twice weekly and is modeled after similar American games.

## Online sales

More lotteries are joining the online sales arena, which is often seen as an important way to attract new - and younger - players. The first American lottery to offer single-draw ticket sales online was Illinois, launched in March 2012. Others have followed suit, and 11 lotteries were offering some form of online sales, including those with subscriptions only, by the end of fiscal 2018.

Comparing results is challenging, however, due to differences in product offerings and in reporting. Some lotteries

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { THE COUNTRY'S TWO LAR GEST } \\
& \text { LOTTERIES, ONTARIO LOTTERY AND } \\
& \text { GAMING (OLG) AND LOTO-QUEBEC, } \\
& \text { BOTH SAW NEARLY THREE PER CENT } \\
& \text { GAINS IN TRADITIONAL SALES. }
\end{aligned}
$$

only offer a few draw games online, others add instant-win games to the mix, and Pennsylvania's launch includes only instantwin interactive games with added play value. Of those offering instant-win games, Georgia, Kentucky and Pennsylvania report total sales, while Michigan reports sales net of prizes.

The Pennsylvania Lottery made a smashing online debut on May 22, generating $\$ 20.8$ million in total play in less than two months; its fun and interactive instant-win games are clearly resonating with players.

Michigan continues its strength in the online channel, reporting almost \$114 million in play during fiscal 2018, net of about $\$ 6.1$ million in promotional costs for its successful online game cards, which involve retailers in the purchase. That's up 24 percent from fiscal 2017. Most sales come from online instant games, although as noted those are reported net of prizes. It should also be noted that Michigan's retail products continue to do incredibly well. For example, instant tickets were up 12.6 percent in fiscal 2018 after a 16.2 percent increase the previous year, for a two-year growth of almost 31 percent. That compares to the industry average two-year growth of about 9.2 percent, and exceeds all other lotteries except the special case of Oklahoma.

The Georgia Lottery, which offers an online portfolio of selected draw games, keno and instants, reported $\$ 50$ million in online sales, up more than 50 percent over the previous year. The Illinois Lottery, limited to Powerball, Mega Millions and Lotto, had $\$ 27.6$ million in online sales in fiscal 2018, up almost 40 percent. The Kentucky Lottery offers instant games, Powerball, Mega Millions, Keno, Lucky for Life and Cash Ball 225. Total online sales in fiscal 2018 were $\$ 14.7$ million, up 122 percent with Keno added to the online product mix during the year.

Two more lotteries began their online path with subscription-based sales, but now offer single-draw sales. First was the North Dakota Lottery, which added that option beginning in July 2014; online sales
in this small lottery state, which only offers draw games, surpassed $\$ 1$ million in fiscal 2018, up 19 percent. The North Carolina Education Lottery began offering single draw sales in June 2016, and available games are Powerball, Mega Millions, Lucky for Life and Cash 5. Total sales more than doubled in fiscal 2018 to $\$ 6.8$ million.

The Virginia and New York lotteries continue to offer subscriptions through an online system, but have thus far stopped short of single draw sales. New Hampshire was doing the same thing until it launched an online sales platform in September; available games there now are Powerball, Mega Millions and instant-win products.

The Delaware Lottery has gone a different route - offering online sales of casino gaming products in partnership with their racetrack casinos; the tiny state brought in $\$ 2.2$ in net gaming revenue online during fiscal 2018, down from \$2.7 million the previous year.

Canada's lotteries have much more online experience than those in the United States, with two operators launching online sales back in 2004 and others following suit, but for the most part their online sales figures are not released.

## Casino Gaming

As always, revenue from casino gaming is included for eight American lotteries in this analysis; the numbers reported are net win from electronic gaming machines (video lottery terminals or slot machines) and table games, where offered. A ninth lottery, Kansas, owns casinos in its jurisdiction but farms out their operation; as the model is somewhat different, its gaming revenues are not included here.

Although still growing overall, gaming revenues are slowing down in mature markets. The two newest jurisdictions, Maryland and Ohio, continue to do well. Maryland gaming revenues were up 18.2 percent; Ohio's were up 6.5 percent. South Dakota led the mature markets with a 3.9 percent gain, but all the other markets managed at least a marginal gain as some
of the biggest competitive impacts have already been absorbed.

Although it had a very good year in traditional game sales, Maryland's strong growth in casino gaming revenues pushed it into the double-digit growth category for overall sales, with a total increase of 11.0 percent.

In Canada, both Loto-Quebec and Atlantic Lottery reported slight gains in net VLT revenues; combined revenues were up 1.3 percent. Again for the purposes of this report, casino operations in British Columbia, Ontario and Quebec are not included, even though a single entity runs both lottery and gaming in each of these provinces. Also excluded are VLTs operated by other entities in Alberta, Manitoba and Saskatchewan.

## The Wild Ride Continues

Based on events to date in fiscal 2019, the records achieved by many lotteries in fiscal 2018 will likely be blown away next year. Mega Millions joined Powerball in the billion dollar club, with a $\$ 1.537$ billion jackpot in October. Monthly sales records fell for many lotteries, and because of the 50 percent payout of these games, record revenues for beneficiaries are also likely.

Many American lotteries are also excited about sports betting, effectively legalized last May when the U.S. Supreme Court struck down the Professional and Amateur Sports Protection Act as unconstitutional. Delaware wasted no time expanding from parlay wagering to full head-to-head sports betting in early June, just before its fiscal year ended. Sports sales there were $\$ 54.7$ million in fiscal 2018, compared to $\$ 46.1$ million the previous year.

The West Virginia Lottery opened its first casino sports book at the end of August, and the Rhode Island Lottery began offering sports betting at its two casinos in late November.

North of the border, changes are coming to Canada's biggest game, Lotto Max, although the scheduled implementation in May 2019 actually comes after the close of their fiscal year on March 31. A second weekly drawing, an increase in the maximum jackpot size and additional prize levels all are designed to help the jackpots grow bigger, and faster. These changes, like the ones to America's big games, are in response to the marketplace as the bar keeps raising for consumer expectations on big jackpots.
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## Fiscal 2018 Lottery Sales and Revenues

United States

|  | Instants | Pulltabs | .0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0 Daily Numbers Games ..0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arizona | \$710,886,936 | \$8,713,206 |  | \$11,710,743 |  |  |
| Arkansas | 407,604,791 |  |  | 7,736,793 | \$4,516,508 |  |
| California | 5,077,397,175 |  |  | 149,410,774 | 29,805,996 |  |
| Colorado | 407,489,335 |  |  | 12,247,661 |  |  |
| Connecticut | 730,691,892 |  |  | 121,616,297 | 120,868,978 |  |
| Delaware | 70,595,124 |  |  | 27,022,550 | 22,781,984 |  |
| D.C. | 49,489,636 |  | \$572,655 | 39,528,838 | 52,460,631 | \$21,939,646 |
| Florida | 4,652,295,000 |  | 20,267,000 | 366,073,000 | 259,271,000 | 52,618,000 |
| Georgia | 3,163,420,479 |  |  | 525,434,491 | 282,570,633 | 7,396,780 |
| Idaho | 146,906,667 | 45,416,663 |  | 2,385,518 |  |  |
| Illinois | 1,880,457,348 |  |  | 278,061,925 | 248,815,994 |  |
| Indiana | 934,985,000 |  |  | 36,359,000 | 35,039,000 |  |
| lowa | 244,260,964 | 10,916,692 |  | 7,705,163 | 4,341,103 |  |
| Kansas | 149,979,002 | 7,410,040 |  | 7,089,797 |  |  |
| Kentucky | 623,386,000 |  |  | 149,653,000 | 43,484,000 |  |
| Louisiana | 217,150,430 |  |  | 52,108,444 | 48,710,356 |  |
| Maine | 223,524,517 |  |  | 5,731,155 | 4,457,041 |  |
| Maryland | 760,009,629 |  |  | 235,402,012 | 296,206,896 |  |
| Massachusetts | 3,592,661,000 | 686,000 |  | 325,158,000 |  |  |
| Michigan | 1,576,818,301 | 32,899,604 |  | 371,283,878 | 466,480,953 |  |
| Minnesota | 411,165,885 |  |  | 17,686,855 |  |  |
| Missouri | 906,750,562 | 86,031,404 |  | 79,213,721 | 52,780,998 |  |
| Montana | 17,865,674 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nebraska | 100,813,623 |  |  | 5,481,295 |  |  |
| New Hampshire | 238,866,572 |  |  | 5,455,938 | 4,928,155 |  |
| New Jersey | 1,852,303,108 |  |  | 421,314,899 | 267,152,439 |  |
| New Mexico | 72,291,000 |  |  | 5,624,000 |  |  |
| New York | 4,178,499,000 |  |  | 887,310,000 | 927,022,000 |  |
| North Carolina | 1,782,807,000 |  |  | 317,138,000 | 145,065,000 |  |
| North Dakota |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ohio | 1,600,611,627 |  |  | 340,069,004 | 205,061,785 | 40,827,991 |
| Oklahoma | 127,627,940 |  |  | 5,302,479 |  |  |
| Oregon | 130,389,967 |  |  |  | 1,605,368 |  |
| Pennsylvania | 2,824,238,704 |  | 5,998,196 | 270,442,958 | 220,658,083 | 44,812,727 |
| Rhode Island | 98,706,129 |  |  | 23,210,120 |  |  |
| South Carolina | 1,259,068,821 |  |  | 213,132,561 | 101,128,568 |  |
| South Dakota | 30,181,754 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tennessee | 1,407,863,177 |  |  | 63,334,620 | 38,882,396 |  |
| Texas | 4,418,324,547 |  |  | 261,738,769 | 114,217,052 |  |
| Vermont | 102,000,000 |  |  | 1,257,000 | 1,198,000 |  |
| Virginia | 1,184,641,974 |  |  | 296,627,276 | 302,727,557 |  |
| Washington | 507,900,498 |  |  | 18,925,205 | 13,677,024 |  |
| West Virginia | 99,194,790 |  |  | 7,940,388 | 4,746,084 |  |
| Wisconsin | 419,398,240 | 1,036,575 |  | 23,867,132 | 13,909,820 |  |
| Wyoming |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total U.S. | \$49,391,519,818 | \$193,110,184 | \$26,837,851 | \$5,996,791,258 | \$4,334,571,400 | \$167,595,144 |

General notes:
All figures should be considered preliminary and unaudited, Lotto games include all lotto-style games other than those specifically identified, Add-on games are generally included with the host game sales; exceptions are if a game is available on several base games, then it is included in the "other" category, Internet sales where applicable are included in the relevant game categories, Revenues to beneficiaries may reflect either actual fiscal year transfers or net income available for distribution; includes revenue from gaming operations where applicable and itemized funding of state problem gambling programs.

Specific note:
*Massachusetts and Rhode Island do not separate sales of 3- and 4-digit daily numbers games

## Fiscal 2018 Lottery Sales and Revenues

## United States

|  | Lotto | Powerball | Mega Millions | Lotto America/ Hot Lotto | For Life games |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arizona | \$58,029,361 | \$118,509,867 | \$60,900,765 |  |  |
| Arkansas | 7,918,644 | 36,745,344 | 19,598,073 |  | \$2,856,202 |
| California | 429,936,838 | 537,015,866 | 434,643,087 |  |  |
| Colorado | 50,011,834 | 88,156,321 | 38,401,894 |  | 15,686,288 |
| Connecticut | 50,028,735 | 88,134,827 | 42,157,718 |  | 19,577,098 |
| Delaware | 4,559,172 | 24,577,701 | 12,072,786 | \$3,430,551 | 3,022,369 |
| D.C. |  | 9,033,810 | 7,136,278 |  | 2,163,648 |
| Florida | 578,625,000 | 474,039,000 | 220,619,000 |  | 59,219,000 |
| Georgia | 117,172,402 | 155,933,613 | 125,569,535 |  | 9,778,636 |
| Idaho | 3,507,795 | 33,197,811 | 13,524,177 | 2,715,739 | 3,298,584 |
| Illinois | 228,385,057 | 161,207,497 | 125,010,860 |  |  |
| Indiana | 68,531,000 | 108,147,000 | 45,852,000 |  | 5,414,000 |
| lowa |  | 58,471,048 | 21,293,740 | 8,633,919 | 5,600,490 |
| Kansas | 13,381,500 | 40,047,750 | 17,220,849 | 7,338,642 | 5,887,198 |
| Kentucky | 11,520,000 | 77,865,000 | 39,816,000 |  | 7,834,000 |
| Louisiana | 34,922,265 | 96,167,914 | 41,915,689 |  |  |
| Maine | 13,522,231 | 24,372,974 | 8,856,271 | 2,247,386 | 3,780,748 |
| Maryland | 48,610,933 | 111,194,931 | 88,555,640 |  | 13,173,716 |
| Massachusetts | 103,508,000 | 130,832,000 | 92,552,000 |  | 25,028,000 |
| Michigan | 107,079,315 | 125,354,463 | 152,676,533 |  | 14,856,681 |
| Minnesota | 28,371,329 | 75,389,899 | 26,037,036 | 12,501,142 | 6,629,815 |
| Missouri | 57,993,374 | 103,895,726 | 48,248,806 |  | 7,938,172 |
| Montana | 7,628,564 | 13,676,021 | 4,899,157 | 2,671,112 | 2,719,668 |
| Nebraska | 19,193,857 | 38,615,072 | 14,980,937 |  | 4,283,308 |
| New Hampshire | 11,398,337 | 36,780,498 | 16,947,560 | 1,322,044 | 5,444,744 |
| New Jersey | 217,503,433 | 231,872,739 | 180,327,212 |  | 41,328,758 |
| New Mexico | 7,653,000 | 29,612,000 | 13,159,000 | 4,784,000 |  |
| New York | 309,463,000 | 408,065,000 | 311,750,000 |  | 94,011,000 |
| North Carolina | 65,357,000 | 168,684,000 | 78,566,000 |  | 19,176,000 |
| North Dakota | 4,394,747 | 14,315,212 | 6,263,412 | 3,115,076 | 3,219,828 |
| Ohio | 87,365,409 | 148,094,503 | 120,080,340 |  | 20,740,043 |
| Oklahoma | 4,021,953 | 49,550,146 | 22,786,249 | 9,179,354 | 1,985,662 |
| Oregon | 36,525,999 | 60,088,322 | 30,089,400 |  | 3,608,392 |
| Pennsylvania | 250,415,767 | 272,715,402 | 133,885,243 |  | 17,825,596 |
| Rhode Island | 4,465,768 | 30,191,087 | 13,345,270 |  | 4,970,754 |
| South Carolina | 23,918,157 | 93,177,864 | 45,544,772 |  | 12,679,134 |
| South Dakota | 3,328,840 | 14,535,193 | 4,725,124 | 2,832,536 | 2,577,463 |
| Tennessee | 18,986,720 | 117,977,776 | 51,746,858 | 13,547,384 | 8,817,648 |
| Texas | 241,916,656 | 289,578,267 | 260,991,143 |  |  |
| Vermont | 5,047,000 | 10,179,000 | 4,532,000 |  | 1,740,000 |
| Virginia | 46,484,948 | 110,388,116 | 130,782,622 |  | 15,241,200 |
| Washington | 60,186,145 | 72,892,676 | 54,651,304 |  |  |
| West Virginia | 4,833,798 | 36,483,389 | 14,850,547 | 5,346,702 |  |
| Wisconsin | 64,420,038 | 98,228,249 | 39,471,740 |  |  |
| Wyoming | 9,700,978 | 10,890,693 | 5,293,448 |  | 2,861,021 |
| Total U.S. | \$3,519,824,900 | \$5,034,881,586 | \$3,242,328,074 | \$79,665,587 | \$474,974,864 |

General notes:
All figures should be considered preliminary and unaudited, Lotto games include all lotto-style games other than those specifically identified, Add-on games are generally included with the host game sales; exceptions are if a game is available on several base games, then it is included in the "other" category, Internet sales where applicable are included in the relevant game categories, Revenues to beneficiaries may reflect either actual fiscal year transfers or net income available for distribution; includes revenue from gaming operations where applicable and itemized funding of state problem gambling programs.

Specific note:

* Massachusetts and Rhode Island do not separate sales of 3- and 4-digit daily numbers games
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## Fiscal 2018 Lottery Sales and Revenues

## United States

|  | Total FY18 Lottery Sales | Total FY17 <br> Lottery Sales | \% Change | FY18 Prizes Traditional Games | FY18 Total Transfers to Beneficiaries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona | \$981,377,844 | \$852,004,622 | 15.2\% | \$647,652,599 | \$211,913,799 |
| Arkansas | 499,707,976 | 449,141,028 | 11.3\% | 341,895,420 | 91,844,929 |
| California | 6,965,792,113 | 6,233,468,423 | 11.7\% | 4,476,580,353 | 1,698,345,219 |
| Colorado | 611,993,333 | 555,333,490 | 10.2\% | 380,834,168 | 140,736,802 |
| Connecticut | 1,267,591,283 | 1,216,262,021 | 4.2\% | 792,589,574 | 347,300,000 |
| Delaware | 642,120,684 | 624,490,704 | 2.8\% | 136,506,526 | 214,909,734 |
| D.C. | 210,255,485 | 218,709,000 | -3.9\% | 117,365,480 | 46,100,000 |
| Florida | 6,700,811,000 | 6,156,478,806 | 8.8\% | 4,844,771,000 | 1,758,329,000 |
| Georgia | 4,597,782,858 | 4,528,949,737 | 1.5\% | 2,768,717,337 | 1,143,913,309 |
| Idaho | 265,084,594 | 239,929,866 | 10.5\% | 176,187,739 | 53,500,000 |
| Illinois | 2,928,423,481 | 2,846,172,791 | 2.9\% | 1,984,121,927 | 722,383,573 |
| Indiana | 1,270,066,000 | 1,213,076,372 | 4.7\% | 797,271,000 | 306,079,000 |
| lowa | 370,956,887 | 352,242,810 | 5.3\% | 227,491,072 | 87,096,200 |
| Kansas | 268,948,805 | 258,030,943 | 4.2\% | 157,890,979 | 74,726,543 |
| Kentucky | 1,042,512,000 | 1,000,501,000 | 4.2\% | 657,362,000 | 263,271,000 |
| Louisiana | 490,975,098 | 454,966,217 | 7.9\% | 263,606,902 | 171,955,980 |
| Maine | 294,133,693 | 265,947,755 | 10.6\% | 194,088,898 | 63,029,986 |
| Maryland | 3,721,760,747 | 3,352,488,029 | 11.0\% | 1,248,722,984 | 1,148,848,171 |
| Massachusetts | 5,276,898,000 | 5,084,779,000 | 3.8\% | 3,891,554,000 | 997,057,000 |
| Michigan | 3,578,359,222 | 3,329,798,181 | 7.5\% | 2,209,486,482 | 935,391,333 |
| Minnesota | 596,477,568 | 563,503,002 | 5.9\% | 370,624,524 | 145,099,182 |
| Missouri | 1,400,216,223 | 1,342,665,109 | 4.3\% | 937,733,555 | 306,072,098 |
| Montana | 56,603,242 | 52,705,002 | 7.4\% | 32,710,156 | 10,699,126 |
| Nebraska | 183,368,092 | 173,819,106 | 5.5\% | 106,634,524 | 45,250,114 |
| New Hampshire | 332,752,026 | 299,154,697 | 11.2\% | 211,533,505 | 87,386,002 |
| New Jersey | 3,299,702,751 | 3,186,909,893 | 3.5\% | 1,991,568,091 | 1,030,296,012 |
| New Mexico | 134,029,000 | 126,041,264 | 6.3\% | 73,142,478 | 40,218,983 |
| New York | 9,973,519,000 | 9,675,722,000 | 3.1\% | 4,816,333,000 | 3,371,868,000 |
| North Carolina | 2,605,312,000 | 2,428,071,344 | 7.3\% | 1,647,827,000 | 671,261,549 |
| North Dakota | 31,308,275 | 27,620,244 | 13.4\% | 16,140,645 | 8,042,500 |
| Ohio | 4,147,410,933 | 3,928,345,277 | 5.6\% | 1,995,285,756 | 1,087,721,000 |
| Oklahoma | 221,110,396 | 151,502,503 | 45.9\% | 129,610,748 | 63,979,605 |
| Oregon | 1,302,334,662 | 1,246,302,524 | 4.5\% | 227,423,034 | 726,087,401 |
| Pennsylvania | 4,221,381,197 | 4,001,035,373 | 5.5\% | 2,733,773,913 | 1,093,645,976 |
| Rhode Island | 885,573,218 | 872,376,419 | 1.5\% | 162,126,601 | 364,974,453 |
| South Carolina | 1,750,162,686 | 1,635,698,381 | 7.0\% | 1,149,786,141 | 430,978,177 |
| South Dakota | 278,806,227 | 261,454,819 | 6.5\% | 33,679,593 | 124,746,260 |
| Tennessee | 1,735,914,958 | 1,611,904,497 | 7.7\% | 1,039,051,371 | 421,680,920 |
| Texas | 5,626,846,887 | 5,077,461,652 | 10.8\% | 3,737,392,730 | 1,450,474,658 |
| Vermont | 132,420,000 | 122,370,063 | 8.2\% | 87,436,000 | 27,154,000 |
| Virginia | 2,139,819,735 | 1,989,872,193 | 7.5\% | 1,307,698,463 | 606,222,494 |
| Washington | 733,938,492 | 673,330,399 | 9.0\% | 457,904,034 | 182,970,728 |
| West Virginia | 1,091,173,112 | 1,079,517,288 | 1.1\% | 106,531,033 | 473,947,597 |
| Wisconsin | 667,392,420 | 602,772,777 | 10.7\% | 404,446,975 | 170,659,626 |
| Wyoming | 28,746,140 | 25,333,304 | 13.5\% | 14,971,342 | 5,026,720 |
| Total U.S. | \$85,561,870,343 | \$80,388,259,924 | 6.4\% | \$50,106,061,652 | \$23,423,194,759 |

General notes:
All figures should be considered preliminary and unaudited, Lotto games include all lotto-style games other than those specifically identified, Add-on games are generally included with the host game sales; exceptions are if a game is available on several base games, then it is included in the "other" category, Internet sales where applicable are included in the relevant game categories, Revenues to beneficiaries may reflect either actual fiscal year transfers or net income available for distribution; includes revenue from gaming operations where applicable and itemized funding of state problem gambling programs.

## Specific note:

* Massachusetts and Rhode Island do not separate sales of 3-and 4-digit daily numbers games


## Fiscal 2018 Lottery Sales and Revenues

## Canada

|  | Instants | Pulltabs | Daily <br> 3-digit | 4-digit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British Columbia | \$273,813,993 | \$25,750,200 |  |  |
| Ontario | 1,353,751,658 |  | \$70,325,899 | \$69,939,505 |
| Quebec | 445,405,000 |  | 43,228,000 |  |
| Alberta | 178,485,789 |  | 17,418,426 |  |
| Manitoba | 64,897,783 |  | 5,994,422 |  |
| NW Territories | 8,084,434 |  | 160,954 |  |
| Saskatchewan | 49,405,637 |  | 2,446,536 |  |
| Yukon | 3,223,595 |  | 63,262 |  |
| WCLC Total | 304,097,238 |  | 26,083,600 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| New Brunswick | 59,779,493 | 18,484,637 |  |  |
| Newf. \& Lab. | 82,925,623 | 79,426,655 |  |  |
| Nova Scotia | 73,097,150 | 23,393,125 |  |  |
| Prince Edward Island | 12,386,145 | 7,306,690 |  |  |
| ALC Total | 228,188,412 | 128,611,108 |  |  |
| Total Canada | \$2,605,256,301 | \$154,361,308 | \$139,637,499 | \$69,939,505 |


|  | Lotto | Lotto Max | Lotto 6/49 | For Life | Spiel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British Columbia | \$34,299,915 | \$199,248,523 | \$170,061,233 | \$24,038,398 | \$66,549,587 |
| Ontario | 147,894,565 | 693,703,793 | 617,889,088 | 59,633,053 | 222,483,376 |
| Quebec | 109,101,000 | 321,489,000 | 332,320,000 | 67,843,000 | 142,151,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alberta | 48,001,884 | 222,895,999 | 159,806,061 | 30,565,027 | 87,901,974 |
| Manitoba | 8,754,070 | 49,346,839 | 37,863,109 | 4,883,773 | 20,013,308 |
| NW Territories | 517,255 | 3,273,322 | 2,185,080 | 389,566 | 1,082,358 |
| Saskatchewan | 10,788,925 | 49,018,662 | 36,586,344 | 5,792,610 | 21,225,734 |
| Yukon | 444,406 | 1,894,120 | 1,484,410 | 288,375 | 839,905 |
| WCLC Total | 68,506,540 | 326,428,942 | 237,925,004 | 41,919,351 | 131,063,279 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Brunswick | 10,159,906 | 25,821,739 | 28,639,424 | 3,256,359 | 18,316,250 |
| Newf. \& Lab. | 8,745,767 | 29,984,282 | 26,130,057 | 3,425,946 | 18,195,849 |
| Nova Scotia | 11,123,528 | 31,684,607 | 34,463,169 | 2,692,464 | 19,487,583 |
| Prince Edward Island | 1,696,526 | 3,938,543 | 4,454,229 | 328,089 | 2,707,486 |
| ALC Total | 31,725,727 | 91,429,170 | 93,686,879 | 9,702,858 | 58,707,168 |
| Total Canada | \$391,527,747 | \$1,632,299,428 | \$1,451,882,204 | \$203,136,660 | \$620,954,410 |

Notes:
All figures in Canadian dollars, Internet sales, where applicable, may be listed in "other" or in the specific game categories, depending on the types of games and the individual lottery, ALC net proceeds for PEI include revenues from operations at the racetrack entertainment centers and from the harness racing industry.

## Fiscal 2018 Lottery Sales and Revenues

## Canada

|  | Hybrid | Club Keno | Daily Keno | Sports | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British Columbia | \$5,971,174 | \$298,365,046 |  | \$44,169,811 | \$32,647,677 |
| Ontario | 123,908,602 |  | \$87,377,370 | 298,201,307 | 34,629,463 |
| Quebec | 38,022,000 |  | 163,693,000 | 86,169,000 | 131,706,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alberta | 24,083,709 | 8,457,464 |  | 58,114,633 |  |
| Manitoba | 7,736,628 | 1,893,677 |  | 22,754,375 |  |
| NW Territories | 475,602 | 369,986 |  | 1,073,801 |  |
| Saskatchewan | 5,268,637 | 2,580,777 |  | 13,180,330 |  |
| Yukon | 240,897 | 996,761 |  | 377,193 |  |
| WCLC Total | 37,805,473 | 14,298,665 |  | 95,500,332 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Brunswick | 531,720 |  | 3,340,668 | 9,957,340 | 3,652,609 |
| Newf. \& Lab. | 623,502 |  | 2,657,045 | 8,409,559 | 5,537,890 |
| Nova Scotia | 739,156 |  | 5,147,475 | 17,385,349 | 5,386,359 |
| Prince Edward Island | 82,988 |  | 533,720 | 2,173,912 | 828,017 |
| ALC Total | 1,977,366 |  | 11,678,908 | 37,926,160 | 15,404,875 |
| Total Canada | \$207,684,615 | \$312,663,711 | \$262,749,278 | \$561,966,610 | \$214,388,015 |


| ........... Traditional Games ........... |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Sales | \% Change | EGMs (Net) | Table Games (Net) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| British Columbia | \$1,174,915,557 | 0.9\% |  |  |
| Ontario | 3,779,737,679 | 2.7\% |  |  |
| Quebec | 1,881,127,000 | 2.9\% | \$963,120,000 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Alberta | 835,730,966 | -3.4\% |  |  |
| Manitoba | 224,137,984 | -2.0\% |  |  |
| NW Territories | 17,612,358 | 0.0\% |  |  |
| Saskatchewan | 196,294,192 | -5.1\% |  |  |
| Yukon | 9,852,924 | -2.5\% |  |  |
| WCLC Total | 1,283,628,424 | -3.4\% |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| New Brunswick | 181,940,146 | 1.6\% | 138,022,652 |  |
| Newf. \& Lab. | 266,062,175 | 0.9\% | 140,038,853 |  |
| Nova Scotia | 224,599,964 | 1.9\% | 136,099,072 |  |
| Prince Edward Island | 36,436,345 | 3.0\% | 32,706,913 | \$2,024,693 |
| ALC Total | 709,038,631 | 1.5\% | 446,867,490 | 2,024,693 |
| Total Canada | \$8,828,447,291 | 1.5\% | \$1,409,987,490 | \$2,024,693 |

Notes:
All figures in Canadian dollars, Internet sales, where applicable, may be listed in "other" or in the specific game categories, depending on the types of games and the individual lottery, ALC net proceeds for PEl include revenues from operations at the racetrack entertainment centers and from the harness racing industry.

## Fiscal 2018 Lottery Sales and Revenues

Canada

|  | Total FY18 <br> Lottery Sales | Total FY17 <br> Lottery Sales | \% Change | FY18 Prizes <br> Traditional Games | FY18 Proceeds to Beneficiaries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British Columbia | \$1,174,915,557 | \$1,164,800,870 | 0.9\% | \$679,795,980 | \$277,041,047 |
| Ontario | 3,779,737,679 | 3,680,753,501 | 2.7\% | 2,115,607,000 | 1,070,923,000 |
| Quebec | 2,844,247,000 | 2,777,440,000 | 2.4\% | 1,002,074,000 | 1,099,958,000 |
| Alberta | 835,730,966 | 865,528,845 | -3.4\% | 425,451,729 | 298,829,895 |
| Manitoba | 224,137,984 | 228,733,428 | -2.0\% | 117,238,868 | 61,222,585 |
| NW Territories | 17,612,358 | 17,604,781 | 0.0\% | 9,737,776 | 5,251,566 |
| Saskatchewan | 196,294,192 | 206,876,907 | -5.1\% | 100,764,715 | 64,080,714 |
| Yukon | 9,852,924 | 10,100,772 | -2.5\% | 5,268,668 | 3,053,706 |
| WCLC Total | 1,283,628,424 | 1,328,844,733 | -3.4\% | 658,461,756 | 432,438,466 |
| New Brunswick | 319,962,798 | 313,110,364 | 2.2\% |  | 128,666,000 |
| Newf. \& Lab. | 406,101,028 | 405,299,721 | 0.2\% |  | 133,774,000 |
| Nova Scotia | 360,699,037 | 355,152,712 | 1.6\% |  | 137,981,000 |
| Prince Edward Island | 71,167,951 | 68,786,961 | 3.5\% |  | 18,779,000 |
| ALC Total | 1,157,930,814 | 1,142,349,758 | 1.4\% | 412,116,738 | 419,200,000 |
| Total Canada | \$10,240,459,474 | \$10,094,188,862 | 1.4\% | \$4,868,055,474 | \$3,299,560,513 |
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## 2018 CALENDAR

December 2018
NCPG/McGill University RG Lottery Holiday Campaign

December 3-6, 2018 La Fleur's 2018 LotTECH Connect AT\&T Executive Education and Conference Center Austin, TX
Hosted by the Texas Lottery

## 2019 CALENDAR

January 4-6, 2019
NCLGS Winter Meeting
Harrah's New Orleans Hotel
New Orleans, LA

February 26-28, 2019
PGRI SMART-Tech 2019
Hilton Fort Lauderdale Hotel Fort Lauderdale, FL

## March 2019

NCPG Problem Gambling
Awareness Month

April 15-18, 2019
NASPL Lottery Leadership
Hyatt Regency Arcade Hotel
Cleveland, OH
Hosted by the Ohio Lottery

May 13-16, 2019
La Fleur's 2019 LotMKT Trends George Washington University
Washington, DC
Hosted by the DC Lottery
June 17-20, 2019
NASPL Directors Meeting
\& Dialogue
Kingsmill
Williamsburg, VA
Hosted by the Virginia Lottery
July 19-20, 2019
NCPG National Conference on Gambling
Addiction \& Responsible Gaming
Sheraton Denver Downtown Hotel
Denver, CO

July 22-26, 2019
NASPL Professional Development Seminar
Arizona Grand
Phoenix, AZ
Hosted by the Arizona Lottery
September 17-20, 2019
NASPL 2019 Annual Conference
Little Rock Convention Center
Little Rock, AR
Hosted by Arkansas Scholarship Lottery

## December 2019

NCPG/McGill University RG Lottery
Holiday Campaign

## 2020 CALENDAR

March 2020
NCPG Problem Gambling Awareness Month

April 20-23, 2020
NASPL Lottery Leadership
Drury Plaza Hotel
Pittsburgh, PA
Hosted by the Pennsylvania Lottery
June 15-18, 2020
NASPL Directors Meeting
\& Dialogue
Westin San Diego
San Diego, CA
Hosted by the California Lottery
July 20-24, 2020
NASPL Professional Development Seminar
Grand Hyatt Denver
Denver, CO
Hosted by the Colorado Lottery
October 26-30, 2020
World Lottery Summit
Vancouver Convention Center
Vancouver, British Columbia
Hosted by BCLC

## December 2020

NCPG/McGill University RG Lottery
Holiday Campaign

## BEEOME A NASPL ASSOCATE MENBER!

"An Associate Membership is a way for your organization to be 'at the table' to hear about the key issues affecting our Industry and how we can better service and grow our industry."

For More Information Contact: Jake Coy
Vendor Relations icoy@nasphq.org 440.361.7962 mwunasplorg

# Lottery Insider 

## lotteryinsider.com

The Daily News Service Lottery \& Gaming Professionals Log Onto Everyday



Classifieds • Daily News • Events Calender • Feature Articles•Internet Gaming •Links Lottery Guide • Lottery Scams • Multistate Games • Patents • Products • Search Statistics•Vendor Directory•Video Lottery•Weekly Editions•Whos Who Wireless Gaming • Casino News • Sports Betting

## NASPL Members Covered By Corporate Subscription

Make Us Your Homepage Today
www.lotteryinsider.com

## The next-generation Sports Betting platform for a truly connected player experience.

INTRALOT presents Orion, its most advanced, feature-rich and versatile Sports Betting platform. A true omni-channel solution designed to empower the modern operator with cost-efficient and fast go-to-market capabilities.

